



# *The Flat - Earth Conspiracy*

*By Eric Dubay*




# **The Flat-Earth Conspiracy**

**By Eric Dubay**

© 2014 All Rights Reserved  
ISBN: 978-1-312-66439-5

## The Globe Earth Lie

Wolves in sheep's clothing have pulled the wool over our eyes. For almost 500 years, the masses have been thoroughly deceived by a cosmic fairy-tale of astronomical proportions. We have been taught a falsehood so gigantic and diabolical that it has blinded us from our own experience and common sense, from seeing the world and the universe as they truly are. Through pseudo-science books and programs, mass media and public education, universities and government propaganda, the world has been systematically brain-washed, slowly indoctrinated over centuries into the unquestioning belief of the greatest lie of all time.



*“Children are taught in their geography books, when too young to apprehend aright the meaning of such things, that the world is a great globe revolving around the Sun, and the story is repeated continuously, year by year, till they reach maturity, at which time they generally become so absorbed in other matters as to be indifferent as to whether the teaching be true or not, and, as they hear of*

*nobody contradicting it, they presume that it must be the correct thing, if not to believe at least to receive it as a fact. They thus tacitly give their assent to a theory which, if it had first been presented to them at what are called ‘years of discretion,’ they would at once have rejected. The consequences of evil-teaching, whether in religion or in science, are far more disastrous than is generally supposed, especially in a luxurious laissez faire age like our own. The intellect becomes weakened and the conscience seared.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma: The Earth Not a Planet Proved From Scripture, Reason, and Fact” (26)*

For 500 years an elite cabal of Sun-worshippers has propagated this nihilistic, atheistic cosmology/cosmogony unquestioningly believed by the vast majority of the world. We have been taught, contrary to all common sense and experience,



that the seemingly motionless, flat Earth beneath our feet is actually a massive moving ball spinning through space at over 1,000 miles per hour, wobbling and tilted 23.5 degrees on its vertical axis, while orbiting the sun at a blinding 67,000 miles per hour, in concert with the entire solar system spiraling 500,000 miles per hour around the Milky Way and careening across the expanding universe away from the “Big Bang” at an incredible 670,000,000 miles per hour, but that you feel and experience none of it! We have been taught that a mysterious force called “gravity,” a magical magnetism responsible for keeping everything from falling or flying off the spinning ball-Earth, is just strong enough to hold people, oceans, and the atmosphere tightly to the surface, but just weak enough to allow bugs, birds and planes to take off with ease!

*“Whilst we sit drinking our cup of tea or coffee the world is supposedly rotating at 1,039 mph at the equator, whizzing around the Sun at 66,500 mph, hurtling towards Lyra at 20,000 mph,*



*revolving around the centre of the 'Milky Way' at 500,000 mph and merrily moving at God knows what velocity as a consequence of the 'Big Bong.' And not even a hint of a ripple on the surface of our tea, yet tap the table lightly with your finger and ... !” -Neville T. Jones*

*“I remember being taught when a boy, that the Earth was a great ball, revolving at a very rapid rate around the Sun, and, when I expressed to my teacher my fears that the waters of the oceans would tumble off, I was told that they were prevented from doing so by Newton’s great law of Gravitation, which kept everything in its proper place. I presume that my countenance must have shown some signs of incredulity, for my teacher immediately added - I can show you a direct proof of this; a man can whirl around his head a pail filled with water without its being spilt, and so, in like manner, can the oceans be carried round the Sun without losing a drop. As this illustration was evidently intended to*

*settle the matter, I then said no more upon the subject. Had such been proposed to me afterwards as a man, I would have answered somewhat as follows - Sir, I beg to say that the illustration you have given of a man whirling a pail of water round his head, and the oceans revolving round the Sun, does not in any degree confirm your argument, because the water in the two cases is placed under entirely different circumstances, but, to be of any value, the conditions in each case must be the same, which here they are not. The pail is a hollow vessel which holds the water inside it, whereas, according to your teaching, the Earth is a ball, with a continuous curvature outside, which, in agreement with the laws of nature, could not retain any water.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma: The Earth Not a Planet Proved From Scripture, Reason, and Fact” (1-2)*



We have been taught that the ball-Earth spins at a constant velocity perfectly dragging the atmosphere along so we cannot feel the slightest bit of motion, perturbation, wind, or air resistance. They say we cannot feel any of this motion because the magical velcro of gravity pulls the atmosphere


precisely along, and we cannot measure any of this motion because the stars are so incredibly far away that over a hundred million miles of supposed annual orbit around the Sun amounts to not a single inch of relative parallax change! How convenient!?

We have been taught that the apparent orbit of the Sun, planets, and stars (but not the Moon!) around the Earth are all optical illusions, that it is in fact the Earth beneath our feet which moves, and our eyes that deceive us. Special exception is made for the Moon, however, which is said to revolve around Earth just as it appears. Since we only ever see one side of the Moon, we have been taught this is because the Moon's supposed 10.3 mph West to East rotation combined with its 2,288 mph orbit of Earth just happens to be the EXACT motion and speed necessary to perfectly cancel out the Earth's supposed 1,000 mph East to West rotation and 67,000 mph orbit of the Sun, thereby creating the perpetual dark side of the Moon illusion! Oh really!?

Though the Sun and Moon appear to be relatively small equal-sized bodies revolving around a stationary Earth, we have been taught that this too is an optical illusion, and they are in fact thousands of miles divergent in diameter!

They say the Sun is actually a whopping 865,374 miles

across, 109 times wider than the Earth, and, contrary to all experience, experiments, and common sense, that we revolve around it! They say the Moon is 2,159 miles across, a quarter the size of the Earth, and why they appear the same size is because the Moon is “only” 238,000 miles away, while the Sun is an unfathomable 93,000,000 miles away from the Earth, and these just happen to be the EXACT diameters and distances necessary for a viewer from Earth to “falsely” perceive them as being the same size! You don’t say!?



*“A sphere where people on the other side live with their feet above their heads, where rain, snow and hail fall upwards, where trees and crops grow upside-down and the sky is lower than the ground? The ancient wonder of the hanging gardens of Babylon dwindle into nothing in comparison to the fields, seas, towns and mountains that pagan philosophers believe to be hanging from the earth without support!”*  
-Lacantius, “On the False Wisdom of the Philosophers”

*“I confess that I cannot imagine how any human being, in his proper senses, can believe that the Sun is stationary when, with his own eyes, he sees it revolving around the heavens, nor how he can believe that the Earth, on which he stands, is whirling with the speed of lightning around the Sun, when he feels not the slightest motion.”* -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (66)

We have been taught that those tiny pin pricks of light in the night sky known as planets, or wandering stars, are actually physical, globular, Earth-like habitations millions of miles away. We have even been shown supposed video footage of



the one called Mars. We have been taught that the tiny pin pricks of light in the night sky known as the fixed stars, are actually distant suns trillions of miles away each complete with their own solar systems, orbiting moons, and Earth-like planets which potentially harbor alien life!

We have been taught that the Moon has no light of its own but is merely a reflector of the Sun's light, that some Masons from NASA actually walked on the Moon, that some other Masons from NASA sent rovers to Mars, that satellites and space stations are incessantly spinning in suspended animation above the earth, that Hubble telescopes are taking snapshots of distant planets, galaxies, stars, quasars, black holes, worm holes, and other fantastic celestial phenomena. We have been



taught that our ignorant ancient ancestors for millennia falsely believed the Earth to be the flat, immovable center of the universe, but thanks to modern "science" and its Masonic prophets like Copernicus, Newton, Galileo, Collins, Aldrin and Armstrong we now believe the world to be a giant whirling sea-earth globe careening through infinite space.



*"Modern astronomical teaching affirms that the world we live on is a globe, which rotates, revolves and spins away in space at brain-reeling rates of speed; that the sun is a million and a half times the volume of the earth-globe, and nearly a hundred million miles distant from it; that the moon is about a quarter the size of earth; that it receives all its light from the sun, and is thus only a reflector, and not a giver of light; that it attracts the body of the*


*earth and thus causes the tides; that the stars are worlds and suns, some of them equal in importance to our own sun himself, and others vastly his superior; that these worlds, inhabited by sentient beings, are without numbers and occupy space boundless in extent and illimitable in duration; the whole of these interlaced bodies being subject to, and supported by, universal gravitation, the foundation and father of the whole fabric. To fanciful minds and theoretical speculators, the so-called 'science' of modern astronomy furnishes a field, unsurpassed in any science for the unrestrained license of the imagination, and*

*the building up of a complicated conjuration of absurdities such as to overawe the simpleton and make him gape with wonder; to deceive even those who truly believe their assumptions to be facts.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogony” (iii)*

We have been taught that “science” books like Newton’s Principia Mathematica which propound the spherical heliocentric myth are the bearers of truth while backwater “religious” books like the Holy Bible which propound a flat, geocentric Earth are merely outdated myths. We have been taught that the universe was unintelligently designed and randomly created in a cosmic coincidence of nothing inexplicably becoming everything!



We have been taught that through millions upon millions of years of accidental “evolution” and happenstance the Big Bang universe began manifesting suns, moons, planets, then water, then somehow out of dead, inert elements, single-celled conscious organisms came to life, grew and multiplied and mutated into larger, different organisms which continued to grow, multiply and mutate gaining diversity and complexity (and losing credibility) to the point where amphibians crawled up on land, replaced gills with lungs, started breathing air, matured into mammals, became bipedal, grew opposable thumbs, evolved into monkeys, then in one final fluke adaptation a hybrid monkey-man was made and the rest is human history.




*“Put together all the imaginary exploits in the air specially written to interest the young, add to this all the wonderful adventures of air-ships recorded in the ‘Daughter of the Revolution,’ and tack on to this all the wild and impossible things found in current libraries of fiction, and I venture to say that the grand total will record*

*nothing so utterly impossible or so supremely ridiculous as this modern scientific delusion of a globe spinning away in space in several different directions at the same time, at rates of speed which no man is able to grasp: with the inhabitants, some hanging heads down and others at various angles to suit the inclination. Write down all the swindles that ever were perpetrated; name all the hoaxes you ever heard of or read about; include all the impostures and bubbles ever*

*exposed; make a list of all the snares that popular credulity could ever be exposed to, and you will fail in getting within sight or hearing of an imposture so gross, a hoax so ingenious, or a bubble of such gigantic proportions as has been perpetrated and forced upon unthinking multitudes in the name of science, and as proved incontrovertible fact, by the expounders of modern astronomy. Again and again have their theories been combated and exposed, but as often have the majority, who do not think for themselves, accepted the popular thing.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (66)*

We have been taught that the height of stupidity and naivety was when our ignorant ancestors believed the Earth to be flat, and that if any man somehow still thinks the Earth to be the immovable center of the universe, that they must be the most primitive kind of ignoramus. Nowadays the label “Flat-Earther” has become literally synonymous with “moron” and is a common cliché derogatory term for insulting someone’s intelligence. Upon seeing a book titled “The Flat Earth Conspiracy” your ingrained instinct was likely to laugh, mock the messenger, and deny the very possibility.



*“What strikes you as being some thoughts that people would have if - in the short space of a few weeks - the universally held conviction that the Earth rotates on an axis daily and*

*orbits the sun annually were exposed as an unscientific deception? Keep in mind that a rotating, orbiting earth is not counted as a mere hypothesis or even a theory anywhere in the world today. Oh no. Rather, this concept is an*



*unquestioned 'truth'; an established 'fact' in all books and other media everywhere, church media included. Copernicanism, in short, is a concept that is protected in a bunker under a 50 foot thick ceiling of solid 'scientific' concrete. It is meant to be impregnable. It is a concept that has become ensconced in men's minds as the indestructible cornerstone of enlightened modern man's knowledge. Virtually all people everywhere have been taught to believe - and do believe - that this concept is based on objective science and dispassionate secular reasoning." -Marshall Hall, "Exposing the Copernican Deception"*

*“Ninety-nine people out of a hundred would give the same answer to the interrogation; and that same answer would be to the effect that ‘the earth is a globe which revolves round the sun.’ The ninety-nine who makes this reply would do it because they ‘know it is the case.’ (!!!) ‘How do they know it?’ Let this question be put to them, and they will bestow upon you a withering smile of pity at what they conceive to be an imbecility of mind on your part, and answer you in something like the following style: ‘It always has been so. We learnt it at school. Clever men say so; and look how astronomers can foretell eclipses;’ and then lose their temper at ‘the very idea’ of the globular theory being incorrect, and a haughty ‘there can’t be a doubt about it,’ will close all they have to say on the subject. Now, if the ears of these ninety-nine could only be gained, they would be shown in an irresistible manner that the philosophy which would speak of a round and revolving world is a false philosophy.” -B. Chas. Brough, “The Zetetic” Volume 1 Number 1, July 1872*



## **The Stationary Immovable Fixed Earth**

Ancient civilizations the world over believed Earth to be the flat, immovable center of the universe around which the heavens revolved daily cycles in perfect circles. This stable geocentric universe, proven true by experience and experiments, which reigned undisputed for thousands of years adequately explaining all Earthly and celestial phenomena, was violently uprooted, spun around, and sent flying through infinite space by a cabal of Sun-worshipping


theoretical astronomers. Early Masonic magicians like Copernicus, Kepler, Galileo, and Newton, along with their modern Masonic astro-not counter-parts like Armstrong, Aldrin, and Collins, hand-in-hand with NASA and world Freemasonry have pulled off the greatest hoax, propagated the most phenomenal lie, and perpetuated the most complete indoctrination in history.



Over the course of 500 years, using everything from books, magazines, and television to computer-generated imaging, a multi-generational conspiracy has succeeded, in the minds of the masses, to pick up the fixed Earth, shape it into a ball, spin it in circles, and throw it

around the Sun! In schools where every professor's desk is adorned with a spinning Earth-globe, we are lectured on the "heliocentric" theory of the universe, shown images of ball-planets and videos of men suspended in space. The illusion created, connivingly convincing, has entranced the world's population into blindly believing a maleficent myth. The greatest cover-up of all time, NASA and Freemasonry's biggest secret, is that we are living on a plane, not a planet, that Earth is the flat, stationary center of the universe.

*"We are told that though the earth has the appearance of being a vast plane, with the sun moving high above and over the earth, that what we see is a deception; it is an optical illusion - for it is not the sun that moves, but the earth, with ' the sea and all that in them is,' in the form of a globe, whizzing with terrific rapidity round the sun, located millions of miles away - its mean distance being assumed to be 91 millions of miles, and that the earth travels at the rate of 68,000 miles an hour, or 19 miles every second."* -Lady Blunt, "Clarion's Science Versus God's Truth" (13)



*“If the Government or NASA had said to you that the Earth is stationary, imagine that. And then imagine we are trying to convince people that 'no, no it's not stationary, it's moving forward at 32 times rifle bullet speed and spinning at 1,000 miles per hour.' We would be laughed at! We would have so many people telling us 'you are crazy, the Earth is not moving!' We would be ridiculed for having no scientific backing for this convoluted moving Earth theory. And not only that but then people would say, 'oh then how do you explain a fixed, calm atmosphere and the Sun's observable movement, how do you explain that?' Imagine saying to people, 'no, no, the atmosphere is moving also but is somehow magically velcroed to the moving-Earth. The reason is not simply because the Earth is stationary.' So what we are actually doing is what makes sense. We are saying that the moving-Earth theory is nonsense. The stationary-Earth theory makes sense and we are being ridiculed. You've got to picture it being the other*




*way around to realize just how RIDICULOUS this situation is. This theory from the Government and NASA that the Earth is rotating and orbiting and leaning over and wobbling is absolute nonsense and yet people are clinging to it, tightly, like a teddy bear. They just can't bring themselves to face the possibility that the Earth is stationary though ALL the evidence shows it: we feel no movement, the atmosphere hasn't been blown away, we see the Sun move from East-to-West, everything can be explained by a motionless Earth without bringing in all these assumptions to cover up previous assumptions gone bad.” -Allen Daves*

If the Earth truly were a spinning ball orbiting the Sun, there are several tests and experiments which could be, and have been, conducted to prove or disprove the veracity of such a claim. For example, Danish Astronomer Tycho Brahe famously argued against the heliocentric theory in his time, positing that if the Earth revolved in an orbit round the Sun, the change in relative position of the stars after 6 months of orbital motion could not fail to be seen. He argued that the stars should seem to separate as we approach and come together as we recede. In actual fact, however, after almost two hundred million miles of supposed orbit around the Sun, not a single inch of parallax can be detected in the stars!



*“If the earth is at a given point in space on say January 1st, and according to present-day science, at a distance of 190,000,000 miles from that point six months afterwards, it follows that the relative position and directions of the stars will have greatly changed, however small the angle of parallax may be. THAT THIS GREAT CHANGE IS NOWHERE APPARENT, AND HAS NEVER BEEN OBSERVED, incontestably proves that the earth is at rest - that it does not move in an orbit round the sun.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (67)*

*“Take two carefully-bored metallic tubes, not less than six feet in length, and place them one yard asunder, on the opposite sides of a wooden frame, or a solid block of wood or*



*stone: so adjust them that their centres or axes of vision shall be perfectly parallel to each other. Now, direct them to the plane of some notable fixed star, a few seconds previous to its meridian time. Let an observer be stationed at each tube and the moment the star appears in the first tube let a loud knock or other signal be given, to be repeated by the observer at the second tube when he first sees the same star. A distinct period of time will elapse between the signals given. The signals will follow each other in very rapid succession, but still, the time between is sufficient to show that the same star is not visible at the same moment by two parallel lines of sight when only one yard asunder. A slight inclination of the second tube towards the first tube would be required for the star to be seen through both tubes at the same instant. Let the tubes remain in their position for six months; at the end of which time the same observation or experiment will produce the same results--the star will be visible at the same meridian time, without the slightest alteration being required in the direction of the tubes: from which it is concluded that if the earth had moved one single yard in an orbit through space, there would at least be observed the slight inclination of the tube which the difference in position of one yard had previously required. But as no such difference in the direction of the tube is required, **the conclusion is unavoidable, that in six months a given meridian upon the earth's surface does not move a single yard, and therefore, that the earth has not the slightest degree of orbital motion.**” -Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy"*



When Tycho Brahe demonstrated that after 190,000,000 miles of supposed orbit around the Sun, not a single inch of parallax could be detected, desperate heliocentrists, instead of conceding, doubled-down claiming the stars were all actually trillions upon trillions of miles away from us, so distant that no


appreciable parallax could ever be detected! This convenient explanation, which heliocentrists have clung to for centuries, has proven satisfactory to silence the uninquisitive minds of the masses, but still fails to adequately address many observable phenomena such as our wildly implausible synchronization with Polaris and other improbabilities which will be addressed later.

*“The idea that the Earth, if it were a globe, could possibly move in an orbit of hundreds of millions of miles with such exactitude that the cross-hairs in a telescope fixed on its surface would appear to glide gently over a star ‘millions of millions’ of miles away is simply monstrous; whereas, with a FIXED telescope, it*



*matters not the distance of the stars, though we suppose them to be as far off as the astronomer supposes them to be; for, as Mr. Richard Proctor himself says, ‘the further away they are, the less they will seem to shift.’ Why, in the name of common sense, should observers have to fix their telescopes on solid stone bases so that they should not move a hair's-breadth, - if the Earth on which they fix them moves at the rate of nineteen miles in a second? Indeed, to believe that Mr. Proctor's mass of ‘six thousand million million million tons’ is ‘rolling, surging, flying, darting on through space for ever’ with a velocity compared with which a*

*shot from a cannon is a 'very slow coach,' with such unerring accuracy that a telescope fixed on granite pillars in an observatory will not enable a lynx-eyed astronomer to detect a variation in its onward motion of the thousandth part of a hair's-breadth is to conceive a miracle compared with which all the miracles on record put together would sink into utter insignificance. Captain R. J. Morrison, the late compiler of 'Zadkeil's Almanac' says: 'We declare that this motion is all mere bosh; and that the arguments which uphold it are, when examined with an eye that seeks for truth, mere nonsense, and childish absurdity.'* -William Carpenter, "100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe" (98)



Another experiment repeatedly performed to disprove Earth's supposed rotation under our feet is firing cannons vertically and horizontally in all cardinal directions.


If the Earth were truly spinning Eastwards underneath us as the heliocentric model suggests then vertically-fired cannonballs should fall significantly due West. In actual fact, though, whenever this has been tested, vertically-fired cannonballs, perfectly aimed with a plumb line, lit with a slow match, shoot upwards an average of 14 seconds ascending, 14 seconds descending, and fall back to the ground no more than 2 feet away from the cannon, sometimes directly back into the muzzle! If the Earth were actually spinning at the supposed rate of 600-700 mph at the mid-latitudes of England and America where the tests have been performed, the cannonballs should fall a full 8,400 feet, or over a mile and a half behind the cannon!

*"The following experiment has been tried many times, and the reasonable deductions from it are entirely against any theory of earth's motion: A loaded cannon was set vertical by plumb-line and spirit-level and fired. The average time the ball was in the air was 28 seconds. On several occasions the ball returned to the mouth of the cannon, and never fell more than 2 feet from its base. Now, let us see what the result would be if the earth were a rapidly rotating sphere. The ball would partake of two motions, the one from the cannon vertical, and the other from the earth, from west to east. While it had been ascending, the earth, with the cannon, would have moved significantly. In descending it would have no impulse from the earth's motion or from the*



*cannon, and would fall in a straight line, but during the time it were falling, the earth, with the cannon, would have travelled on, and the ball would fall (allowing the world's rotation to be 600 miles per hour in England) more than a mile and a half behind the cannon.” -A.E. Skellam*


Again, at this point, instead of conceding, desperate heliocentrists triple-down claiming the reason cannonballs fall straight back is because the magical properties of gravity allow Earth to somehow drag the entire lower-atmosphere in perfect synchronization with its axial spin rendering even such break-neck speeds completely unnoticeable to the observer and unmeasurable by experimentation! This highly implausible, though clever and convenient explanation only holds for vertically-fired cannons, however. If cannons are instead fired



and measured in all cardinal directions, even the heliocentrists' atmospheric-velcro trump-card becomes unplayable. North/South-firing cannonballs establish a control, then the East-firing cannonballs should fall significantly farther than all others and West-firing cannonballs should fall significantly closer due to the supposed 19 mile per second Eastward rotation of the Earth. In actual fact, however, regardless of which direction cannons are fired, North, South, East, or West, the distance covered is always the same.

*“When sitting in a rapidly-moving railway carriage, let a spring-gun be fired forward, or in the direction in which the train is moving. Again, let the same gun be fired, but in the opposite direction; and it will be found that the ball or other projectile will always go farther in the first case than in the latter. If a person leaps backwards from a horse in full gallop, he cannot jump so great a distance as he can by jumping forward. Leaping from a moving sledge, coach, or other object, backwards or forwards, the same results are experienced. Many other practical cases could be cited to show that any body projected from another body in motion, does not exhibit the same behaviour as it does when projected from a body at rest. Nor are the results the same when projected in the same direction as that in which the body moves, as when projected in the opposite*

direction; because, in the former case, the projected body receives its momentum from the projectile force, **plus** that given to it by the moving body; and in the latter case, this momentum, **minus** that of the moving body. Hence it would be found that if the earth is moving rapidly from west to east, a cannon fired in a due easterly direction would send a ball to a greater distance than it would if fired in a due westerly direction. But the most experienced artillerymen - many of whom have had great practice, both at home and abroad, in almost every latitude - have declared that no difference whatever is observable. That in charging and pointing their guns, no difference in the working is ever required. Gunners in war ships have noticed a considerable difference in the results of their firing from guns at the bow, when sailing rapidly towards the object fired at, and when firing from guns placed at the stern while sailing away from the object: and in both cases the results are different to those observed when firing from a ship at perfect rest. **These details of practical experience are utterly incompatible with the supposition of a revolving earth.**" -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (73)



"It is in evidence that, if a projectile be fired from a rapidly moving body in an opposite direction to that in which the body is going, it will fall short of the distance at which it would reach the ground if fired in the direction of motion. Now, since the Earth is said to move at the rate of nineteen miles in a second of time, 'from west to east,' it would make all the difference imaginable if the gun were fired in an opposite direction. But, as, in practice, there is not the slightest difference, whichever way the thing may be done, we have a forcible overthrow of all fancies relative to the motion of the Earth." -William Carpenter, "100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe" (44)

During the Crimean War, the subject of artillery-fire in connection with the Earth's rotation became a hotly discussed topic among military men, scientists, philosophers and statesmen. Around this time, on December 20th, 1857, British Prime Minister Lord Palmerston wrote to the Secretary of War Lord Panmure, stating, "There is an investigation which it would be important and at the same time easy to make, and that is, whether the rotation of the earth on its axis has any effect on the curve of a cannon-ball in its flight. One should suppose that it has, and that while the cannon-ball is flying in the air, impelled by the gunpowder in a straight line from the cannon's mouth, the ball would not follow

*the rotation of the earth in the same manner which it would do if lying at rest on the earth's surface. If this be so, a ball fired in the meridional direction--that is to say, due south or due north--ought to deviate to the west of the object at which it was aimed, because during the time of flight, that object will have gone to the east somewhat faster than the cannon-ball will have done... The trial might be easily made in any place in which a free circle of a mile or more radius could be obtained; and a cannon placed in the centre of that circle, and fired alternately north, south, east, and west, with equal charges, would afford the means of ascertaining whether each shot flew the same distance or not."*

Several such experiments have since been performed and shown that projectiles fired in various directions on Earth's surface always cover comparable distances with no appreciable difference whatsoever. These results are entirely against the theory of a rotating, revolving world and serve as direct empirical evidence for the stationary Earth.

More evidence, similar to the cannonball experiment is found in helicopters and airplanes. If the Earth were spinning several hundred miles per hour beneath our feet, helicopter pilots and hot-air balloonists should be able to simply ascend straight




up, hover, and wait for their lateral destinations to reach them! Since such a thing has never happened in the history of aeronautics, however, haughty heliocentrists must once again rely on Newton's magical atmospheric-velcro, claiming the lower atmosphere (up to an undetermined height, somewhere above the reach of helicopters, hot-air balloons, and anything not built by NASA) is pulled perfectly along with the rotating Earth rendering such experiments moot.

Granting heliocentrists their atmospheric-glue supposition helps them dismiss the results of vertically-fired cannonball experiments, but does not and cannot help them explain away the results of horizontal cardinally-fired cannonballs. Similarly, granting them their magic-velcro helps dismiss the results of hovering helicopter and hot-air balloon experiments, but does not and cannot explain away



the results of airplanes flying in cardinal directions. For instance, if both the Earth and its lower atmosphere are supposedly rotating together Eastwards 1,038 miles per hour at the equator, then airplane pilots would need to make an extra 1,038 mph compensation acceleration when flying Westwards! North and South-bound pilots would by necessity have to set diagonal courses to compensate! Since no such compensations are ever necessary except in the imaginations of astronomers, it follows that the Earth does not move.




*“If flying had been invented at the time of Copernicus, there is no doubt that he would have soon realized that his contention regarding the rotation of the earth was wrong, on account of the relation existing between the speed of*

*an aircraft and that of the earth’s rotation. The distance covered by an aircraft would be reduced or increased by the speed of the rotation according to whether such aircraft travelled in the same direction, or against it. Thus, if the earth rotates, as it is said, at 1,000 miles an hour, and a plane flies in the same direction at only 500 miles, it is obvious that its place of destination will be farther removed every minute. On the other hand, if flying took place in the direction opposite to that of the rotation, a distance of 1,500 miles would be covered in one hour, instead of 500, since the speed of the rotation is to be added to that of the plane. It could also be pointed out that such a flying speed of 1,000 miles an hour, which is supposed to be that of the earth’s rotation, has recently been achieved, so that an aircraft flying at this rate in the same direction as that of the rotation could not cover any ground at all. It would remain suspended in mid-air over the spot from which it took off, since both speeds are equal. There would, in addition, be no need to fly from one place to another situated on the same latitude. The aircraft could just rise and wait for the desired country to arrive in the ordinary course of the rotation, and then land.” -Gabrielle Henriet, “Heaven and Earth” (10-11)*

The heliocentric theory, literally “flying” in the face of direct observation, experimental evidence and common sense, maintains that the ball-Earth is

spinning around its axis at 1,000 miles per hour, revolving around the Sun at 67,000 miles per hour, while the entire solar system rotates around the Milky Way galaxy at 500,000 miles per hour, and the Milky Way speeds through the expanding Universe at over 670,000,000 miles per hour, yet no one in history has ever felt a thing! We can feel the slightest breeze on a summer's day, but never one iota of air displacement from these incredible speeds! Heliocentrists claim with a straight face that their ball-Earth spins at a constant velocity dragging the atmosphere in such a manner as to perfectly cancel all centrifugal, gravitational, and inertial forces so we do not feel the tiniest bit of motion, perturbation, wind or air resistance! Such back-peddling, damage-control reverse-engineered explanations certainly stretch the limits of credibility and the imagination, leaving much to be desired by discerning minds.



*“Dear Reader, do you feel the motion? I trow not, for if you did, you would not so quietly be reading my book. I doubt not you have been, like myself, on a railway platform when an express train rushed wildly past at the rate of sixty-five miles per hour, when the concussion of the air almost knocked you down. But how much more terrible would be the shock of the Earth's calculated motion of sixty-five thousand miles per hour, one thousand times faster than the speed of the railway express?”*  
 -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (109)



*“Let ‘imagination’ picture to the mind what force air would have which was set in motion by a spherical body of 8,000 miles in diameter, which in one hour was spinning round 1,000 mph, rushing through space at 65,000 mph and gyrating across the heavens 20,000 mph? Then let ‘conjecture’ endeavor to discover whether the inhabitants on such a globe could keep their hair on? If the earth-globe rotates on its axis at the terrific rate of 1,000 miles an hour, such an immense mass would of*

*necessity cause a tremendous rush of wind in the space it occupied. The wind would go all one way, and anything like clouds which got 'within the sphere of influence' of the rotating sphere, would have to go the same way. **The fact that the earth is at rest is proved by kite flying.***" -Thomas Winship, "Zetetic Cosmogeny" (68-69)

If the Earth and atmosphere are constantly revolving Eastwards at 1,000 mph, how is it that clouds, wind, and weather patterns casually and unpredictably go every which way, often travelling in opposing directions simultaneously? Why can we feel the slightest Westward breeze but not the Earth's incredible supposed 1,000 mph Eastward spin!? And how is it that the magic velcro of gravity is strong enough to drag miles of Earth's atmosphere along, but weak enough to allow little bugs, birds, clouds and planes to travel freely unabated in any direction?

*"What about the lark which, at early morn, soars aloft, trilling its lays of luscious melody? Why was it not swept away in the tumultuous atmosphere? But it still continues singing, in happy ignorance of any commotion in the heavens. Who has not noticed, on a calm Summer day, the thistle-down floating listlessly in the air, and the*



*smoke ascending, straight as an arrow, from the peasant's cottage? Would not such light things as thistle-down and smoke have to obey the impulse and go with the Earth also? But they do not."* -David Wardlaw Scott, "Terra Firma" (110)

*"If the atmosphere rushes forward from west to east continually, we are again obliged to conclude that whatever floats or is suspended in it, at any altitude, must of necessity partake of its eastward motion. A piece of cork, or any other body floating in still water, will be motionless, but let the water be put in motion, in any direction whatever, and the floating bodies will move with it, in the same direction and with the same velocity. Let the experiment be tried in every possible way, and these results will invariable follow. Hence if the earth's*



*atmosphere is in constant motion from west to east, all the different strata which are known to exist in it, and all the various kinds of clouds and vapours which float in it must of mechanical necessity move rapidly eastwards. But what is the fact? If we fix upon any star as a standard or datum outside the visible atmosphere, we may sometimes observe a stratum of clouds going for hours together in a direction the very opposite to that in which the earth is supposed to be moving. Not only may a stratum of clouds be seen moving rapidly from east to west, but at the same moment other strata may often be seen moving from north to south, and from south to north. It is a fact well known to aeronauts, that several strata of atmospheric air are often moving in as many different directions at the same time ... On almost any moonlight and cloudy night, different strata may be seen not only moving in different directions but, at the same time, moving with different velocities; some floating past the face of the moon rapidly and uniformly, and others passing gently along, sometimes becoming stationary, then starting fitfully into motion, and often standing still for minutes together."* - Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (74)




In his book "South Sea Voyages," Arctic and Antarctic explorer Sir James Clarke Ross, described his experience on the night of November 27th, 1839 and his conclusion that

the Earth must be motionless: *"The sky being very clear, the planet Venus was seen near the zenith, notwithstanding the brightness of the meridian sun. It enabled us to observe the higher stratum of clouds to be moving in an exactly opposite direction to that of the wind--a circumstance which is frequently recorded in our meteorological journal both in the north-east and south-east trades, and has also often been observed by former voyagers. Captain Basil Hall witnessed it from the summit of the Peak of Teneriffe; and Count Strzelechi, on ascending the volcanic mountain of Kiranea, in Owhyhee, reached at 4000 feet an elevation above that of the trade wind, and experienced the influence of an opposite current of air of a different hygrometric and thermometric condition ... Count Strzelechi further informed me of the following seemingly anomalous*

*circumstance--that at the height of 6000 feet he found the current of air blowing at right angles to both the lower strata, also of a different hygrometric and thermometric condition, but warmer than the inter-stratum. **Such a state of the atmosphere is compatible only with the fact which other evidence has demonstrated, that the earth is at rest.**"*

*"It is a well-known fact that clouds are continually seen moving in all manner of directions - yes, and frequently, in different directions at the same time - from west to east being as frequent a direction as any other. Now, if the Earth were a globe, revolving through space from west to east at the rate of nineteen miles in a second, the clouds appearing to us to move towards the east would have to move quicker than nineteen miles in a second to be thus seen; whilst those which appear to be moving in the opposite direction would have no necessity to be moving at all, since the motion of the Earth would be more than sufficient to cause the appearance. But it only takes a little common sense to show us that it is the clouds that move just as they appear to do, and that, therefore, the Earth is motionless." -William Carpenter, "100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe" (49)*

Heliocentrists believe the world beneath their feet is spinning a mind-numbing 1,038 mph at the equator while perfectly pulling the entire atmosphere along for the ride. Meanwhile at the mid-latitudes of USA and Europe, they believe the world/atmosphere spin around 900-700 mph decreasing gradually all the way down to 0 mph at the North and South poles, where the stagnant atmosphere apparently never moves completely escaping the grips of gravity's magic velcro. This means at all latitudes, every inch of the way, the atmosphere manages to perfectly coincide with the supposed speed of the Earth compensating from 0 mph at the poles all the way up to 1,038 mph at the equator, and every speed in between. These are all lofty assumptions heliocentrists make without any experimental evidence to back them up.



*"In short, the sun, moon, and stars are actually doing precisely what everyone throughout all history has seen them do. We do not believe what our eyes tell us because we have been taught a counterfeit system which demands that we believe what has never been confirmed by observation or experiment. That counterfeit system demands that the Earth rotate on an 'axis' every 24 hours at a speed of over 1000 MPH at the equator. No one has ever, ever, ever seen or felt such*

*movement (nor seen or felt the 67,000MPH speed of the Earth's alleged orbit around the sun or its 500,000 MPH alleged speed around a galaxy or its retreat from an alleged 'Big Bang' at over 670,000,000 MPH!). Remember, no experiment has ever shown the earth to be moving. Add to that the fact that the alleged rotational speed we've all been taught as scientific fact MUST decrease every inch or mile one goes north or south of the equator, and it becomes readily apparent that such things as accurate aerial bombing in WWII (down a chimney from 25,000 feet with a plane going any direction at high speed) would have been impossible if calculated on an earth moving below at several hundred MPH and changing constantly with the latitude." -Marshall Hall, "A Small, Young Universe After All"*



Before heliocentric indoctrination any child will look up to the sky and notice that the Sun, Moon, and stars all revolve around a stationary Earth. All evidence from our perspective clearly demonstrates that we are fixed and the heavenly bodies circle around us. We feel the Earth as motionless and observe the Sun, Moon, stars and planets to be moving entities. To suspend this common-sense geocentric perspective and assume that it is actually the Earth rotating beneath us daily while revolving around the sun yearly is

quite a theoretical leap to take without any empirical evidence to land on.

*"Ignorant folk think that such minority opinions as Geocentrism are the 'conspiracy theories.' There is a real conspiracy for sure but the sad thing is it is mostly a conspiracy of willful and apathetic ignorance (for numerous reasons). The very people who would call Geocentrists 'quack conspiracy theorists' are either themselves completely ignorant of even modern cosmological axioms and principles of gravitation and mechanics or they are just 'playing stupid,' hoping that no one will notice or call their bluff' ... What's even more hilarious is the fact that even folk like Steven Hawking and a few intellectually honest physicists and cosmologists who would read what we are saying and are capable of understanding it, know that what we have been saying is absolutely true. Not only do they admit that but even 'snicker' about it to each other, but they won't dare to address that too openly with the dumb, ignorant masses... best not to confuse the common folk with unnecessary information and facts. Even more sad are all the others out there who don't have a clue what I'm saying here and*



*shake their heads thinking they know something about physics that tells them that the Earth moves. If only they studied the text books and peer-reviewed papers a little closer, they would realize just how absolutely ignorant with a capital 'I' that argument really is."* -Allen Daves

### **Standing Water is Flat Water**

It is part of the natural physics of water and other fluids to always find their level and remain flat. If disturbed in any way, motion ensues until the flat level is resumed. If dammed up then released, the nature of all liquids is to quickly flood outwards taking the easiest course towards finding its new level.



*"The upper surface of a fluid at rest is a horizontal plane. Because if a part of the surface were higher than the rest, those parts of the fluid which were under it would exert a greater pressure upon the surrounding parts than they receive from them, so that motion would take place amongst the particles and continue until there were none at a higher level than the rest, that is, until the upper surface of the whole mass of fluid became a horizontal plane."* -W.T. Lynn, "First Principles of Natural Philosophy"



If the Earth is an extended flat plane, then this fundamental physical property of fluids finding and remaining level is consistent with experience and common sense. If, however, the Earth is a giant sphere tilted on its vertical axis spinning through never-ending space then it follows that truly flat, consistently level surfaces do not exist here!

Moreover, if the Earth is spherical then it follows that the surface of all Earth's

water, including the massive oceans, must maintain a certain degree of convexity. But this is contrary to the fundamental physical nature of water to always be and remain level!

*“The surface of all water, when not agitated by natural causes, such as winds, tides, earthquakes etc. is perfectly level. The sense of sight proves this to every unprejudiced and reasonable mind. Can any so-called scientist, who teaches that the earth is a whirling globe, take a heap of liquid water, whirl it round, and so make rotundity? He cannot. Therefore it is utterly impossible to prove that an ocean is a whirling rotund section of a globular earth, rushing through ‘space’ at the lying-given-rate of false philosophers.”* -William Thomas Wiseman, “The Earth An Irregular Plane”




If we were living on a whirling ball-Earth, every pond, lake, marsh, canal and other large body of standing water, each part would have to comprise a slight arc or semi-circle curveting downwards from the central summit. For example, if the ball-Earth were 25,000 miles in circumference as NASA and modern astronomers say,

then spherical trigonometry dictates the surface of all standing water must curve downwards an easily measureable 8 inches per mile multiplied by the square of the distance. This means along a 6 mile channel of standing water the Earth would dip 6 feet on either end from the central peak. To the benefit of true science, and to the detriment of modern astronomy’s pseudo-science, such an experiment can and has been tested.

In Cambridge, England there is a 20 mile canal called the Old Bedford which passes in a straight line through the Fenlands known as the Bedford Level. The water has no interruption from locks or water-gates of any kind and remains stationary making it perfectly suitable for determining whether any amount of


convexity/curvature actually exists. In the latter part of the 19th century, Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, a famous Flat-Earther and author of the fine book, “Earth Not a Globe! An Experimental Inquiry into the True Figure of the Earth: Proving it a Plane, Without Axial or Orbital Motion; and the Only Material World in the Universe!” travelled to the Bedford level and performed a series of experiments to determine whether the surface of standing water is flat or convex.

*“A boat, with a flag-staff, the top of the flag 5 feet above the surface of the water, was directed to sail from a place called ‘Welche’s Dam’ (a well-known ferry passage), to another called ‘Welney Bridge.’ These two points are six statute*



*miles apart. The author, with a good telescope, went into the water; and with the eye about 8 inches above the surface, observed the receding boat during the whole period required to sail to Welney Bridge. The flag and the boat were distinctly visible throughout the whole distance! There could be no mistake as to the distance passed over, as the man in charge of the boat had instructions to lift one of his oars to the top of the arch the moment he reached the bridge. The experiment commenced about three o'clock in the afternoon of a summer's day, and the sun was shining brightly and nearly behind or against the boat during the whole of its passage. Every necessary condition had been fulfilled, and the result was to the last degree definite and satisfactory. The conclusion was unavoidable that the surface of the water for a length of six miles did not to any appreciable extent decline or curve downwards from the line of sight. But if the earth is a globe, the surface of the six miles length of water would have been 6 feet higher in the centre than at the two extremities. From this experiment it follows that the surface of standing water is not convex, and therefore that the Earth is not a globe! On the contrary, this simple experiment is all-sufficient to prove that the surface of the water is parallel to the line-of-sight, and is therefore horizontal, and that the Earth cannot be other than a plane!” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe! An Experimental Inquiry into the True Figure of the Earth: Proving it a Plane, Without Axial or Orbital Motion; and the Only Material World in The Universe!” (12-13)*






In a second experiment, Dr. Rowbotham placed seven flags along the edge of the water each one mile distant from the next with their tops positioned 5 feet above the surface. Near the last one he also positioned a longer, 8 foot staff bearing a 3 foot flag so that its bottom aligned precisely with the


tops of the other flags. He then mounted a telescope at a height of 5 feet behind the first flag and took his observations. If the Earth was a globe of 25,000 miles, each successive flag would have to decline a definite and determined amount below the last. The first and second flags simply established the line of sight, the third flag should then fall 8 inches below the second, the fourth flag 32 inches below, the fifth 6 feet, the sixth 10 feet 8 inches, and the seventh flag should be a clear 16 feet 8 inches below the line of sight! Even if the Earth was a globe of a hundred thousand miles, an amount of easily measurable curvature should and would still be evident in this experiment. But the reality is not a single inch of curvature was detected and the flags all lined up perfectly as consistent with a flat plane.

*“The rotundity of the earth would necessitate the above conditions; but as they cannot be found to exist, the doctrine must be pronounced as only a simple theory, having no foundation in fact--a pure invention of misdirected genius; splendid in its comprehensiveness and bearing upon natural phenomena; but, nevertheless, mathematical and logical necessities compel its denunciation as an absolute falsehood.”* -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (14)

Dr. Rowbotham conducted several other experiments using telescopes, spirit levels, and “theodolites,” special precision instruments used for measuring angles in horizontal or vertical planes. By positioning



them at equal heights aimed at each other successively he proved over and over the Earth to be perfectly flat for miles without a single inch of curvature. His findings caused quite a stir in the scientific community and thanks to 30 years of his efforts, the shape of the Earth became a hot topic of debate around the turn of the nineteenth century.



*“Is water level, or is it not?’ was a question once asked of an astronomer. ‘Practically, yes; theoretically, no,’ was the reply. Now, when theory does not harmonize with practice, the best thing to do is to drop the theory. (It is getting too late, now to say ‘So much the worse*


*for the facts!’) To drop the theory which supposes a curved surface to standing water is to acknowledge the facts. Whenever experiments have been tried on the surface of standing water, the surface has always been found to be level. If the Earth were a globe, the surface of all standing water would be convex. This is an experimental proof that Earth is not a globe.” -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe”*

*“Since any given body of water must have a level surface, no one part higher than another, and seeing that all our oceans (a few inland seas excepted) are connected together, it follows that they are all VIRTUALLY OF THE SAME LEVEL.” -The English Mechanic, 26th, June 1896*

Astronomers say the magical magnetism of gravity is what keeps all the oceans of the world stuck to the ball-Earth. They say that because the Earth is so massive, by virtue of this mass it creates a magic force able to hold people, oceans and atmosphere tightly clung to the underside of the spinning ball. Unfortunately, however, they cannot provide any practical example of this on a scale smaller than the planetary. For example, a spinning wet tennis ball has the exact opposite effect of the supposed ball-Earth! Any water poured over it simply falls off the sides,



and giving it a spin results in water flying off 360 degrees like a dog shaking after a bath. Astronomers concede the wet tennis ball example displays the opposite effect of their supposed ball-Earth, but claim that at some unknown mass, the magic adhesive properties of gravity suddenly kick in allowing the spinning wet tennis ball-Earth to keep every drop of “gravitized” water stuck to the surface. Again, their theory flies in the face of all practical evidence, but they have been running with it for 500 years, so why stop now?



*“If the Earth were a globe, rolling and dashing through ‘space’ at the rate of ‘a hundred miles in five seconds of time,’ the waters of seas and oceans could not, by any known law, be kept on its surface - the assertion that they could be retained under these circumstances being an outrage upon human understanding and credulity! But as the Earth - that is, the habitable world of dry land - is found to be ‘standing out of the water and in the water’ of the ‘mighty*

*deep,’ whose circumferential boundary is ice, we may throw the statement back into the teeth of those who make it and flaunt before their faces the flag of reason and common sense, inscribed with a proof that the Earth is not a globe.” - William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (86)*

In one portion of its long route, the great river Nile flows for a thousand miles with a fall of only one foot! This is a feat which, of course, would be a sheer impossibility if the Earth had spherical curvature. Many other rivers including the Congo in West Africa, the Amazon in South



America, and the Mississippi in North America all flow for thousands of miles in directions totally incompatible with the supposed globularity of the Earth as well.



*“Rivers run DOWN to the sea because of the inclination of their beds. Rising at an altitude above sea-level, in some cases thousands of feet above the sea, they follow the easiest route to their level - the sea. The ‘Parana’ and ‘Paraguay’ in South America are navigable for over 2,000 miles, and their waters run the same way until they find their level of stability, where the*

*sea tides begin. But if the world be a globe, the ‘Amazon’ in South America that flows always in an easterly direction, would sometimes be running uphill and sometimes down, according to the movement of the globe. Then the ‘Congo’ in West Africa, that always pursues a westerly course to the sea, would in the same manner be running alternately up and down. When that point of the globe exactly between them was up, they would both be running up, although in opposite directions; and when the globe took half a turn, they would both be running down! We know from practical experiment that water will find its level, and cannot by any possibility remain other than level, or flat, or horizontal - whatever term may be used to express the idea. It is therefore quite out of the range of possibility that rivers could do as they would have to do on a globe.” - Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogony” (110)*


*“Whoever heard of a river in any part of its course flowing uphill? Yet this it would require to do were the Earth a Globe. Rivers, like the Mississippi, which flow from the North southwards towards the Equator, would need, according to Modern Astronomic theory, to run upwards, as the Earth at the Equator is said to bulge out considerably more, or, in other words, is higher than at any other part. Thus the Mississippi, in its immense course of over 3,000 miles, would have to ascend 11 miles before it reached the Gulf of Mexico!” - David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (126)*





*“There are rivers which flow east, west, north, and south - that is, rivers are flowing in all directions over the Earth's surface, and at the same time. Now, if the Earth were a globe, some of these rivers would be flowing up-hill and others down, taking it for a fact that there really is an ‘up’ and a ‘down’ in nature, whatever form she assumes. But, since rivers do not flow up-hill, and the globular theory requires that they should, it is a proof that the Earth is not a globe.”* -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (85)

### The Always Flat Horizontal Horizon




Whether at sea-level, the top of Mount Everest, or flying a hundred thousand feet in the air, the always horizontal horizon line always rises

up to meet the eye-level of the observer and remains perfectly flat. You can test for yourself on a beach or hilltop, in a large field or desert, aboard a hot-air balloon or helicopter; you will see the panoramic horizon ascend with you and remain completely level all around. If the Earth were actually a big ball, however, the horizon should sink as you ascend, not rise to your eye-level, and it would dip at each end of your periphery, not remain flat all around. Standing in a rising balloon, you would have to look downwards to the horizon; the highest point of the ball-Earth would be directly beneath you and declining on each side.

In an editorial from the London Journal, July 18, 1857, one journalist described quite the opposite in his hot-air balloon ascent, *“The chief peculiarity of the view from a balloon at a considerable elevation was the altitude of the horizon, which remained practically on a level with the eye at an elevation of two miles, causing the surface of the earth to appear concave instead of convex, and to recede during the rapid ascent, whilst the*




*horizon and the balloon seemed to be stationary.” J. Glaisher wrote in his, “Travels in the Air,” that “On looking over the top of the car, the horizon appeared to be on a level with the eye, and taking a grand view of the whole visible area beneath, I was struck with its great regularity; all was dwarfed to one plane; it seemed too flat.” M. Victor Emanuel, another hot-air balloonist, wrote that, “Instead of the earth declining from the view on either side, and the higher part being under the car, as is popularly supposed, it was the exact opposite; the lowest part, like a huge basin, being immediately under the car, and the horizon on all sides rising to the level of the eye.” Yet another American hot-air balloonist, Mr. Elliot wrote, “The aeronaut may well be the most skeptical*



*man about the rotundity of the earth. Philosophy forces the truth upon us; but the view of the earth from the elevation of a balloon is that of an immense terrestrial basin, the deeper part of which is directly under one's feet.” And in Mayhew's “Great World of London,” one aeronaut recorded that, “Another curious effect*

*of the aerial ascent was, that the Earth, when we were at our greatest altitude, positively appeared concave, looking like a huge dark bowl, rather than the convex sphere such as we naturally expect to see it. The horizon always appears to be on a level with our eye, and seems to rise as we rise, until at length the elevation of the circular boundary line of the sight becomes so marked that the Earth assumes the anomalous appearance as we have said of a concave rather than a convex body.”*

Amateurs have sent balloons to heights of over 121,000 feet and you can watch video online of



Reaches 121,000 feet

Nasa 128,000 feet

the horizon rising with the camera-level and remaining perfectly flat 360 degrees around. NASA videos and other “official” sources, however, such as the recent Red Bull skydive at 128,000 feet have been caught adding fake curvature to the Earth via wide-angle lenses and post-production work. Panoramic photos atop


Mount Everest also often claim to be displaying Earth's curvature, but this is simply the result of distortions and limitations inherent in wide-angle lenses. The full extent of NASA's camera trickery and doctored CGI sphere Earth pictures/videos will be exposed in detail later.



*"The camera distorted horizons have always been a misleading factor with those who have not freed their minds from the 'planet' or 'globe earth*

*indoctrination.' Three or four years ago, the U.S.I.S. booklet 'Science Horizons,' carried a note to the effect that the Americans hoped to produce a lens which would NOT distort level horizons. So far I am not aware that such aid to truer photography has yet been made available. Flat Earthists however can prove that due to the known laws of perspective, the horizon, optically rises and remains level with the observer's, or the camera's eye, no matter what height is achieved. In fact the earth immediately beneath balloon, airplane, rocket or capsule, presents a dish-shaped or concave appearance. The point of earth immediately below the vehicle is the lowest. It is NOT the highest point of your 'globe' earth with the dip or curvature of the 'ball' sweeping away downwards to a horizon far away below the eye level." -Samuel Shenton, "The Plane Truth"*


If the Earth were actually a big ball 25,000 miles in circumference, the horizon would be noticeably curved even at sea-level, and everything on or approaching the horizon



would appear to tilt backwards slightly from your perspective. Distant buildings along the horizon would all look like leaning towers of Piza falling away from

the observer. A hot-air balloon taking off then drifting steadily away from you, on a ball-Earth would slowly and constantly appear to lean back more and more the farther away it flew, the bottom of the basket coming gradually into view as the top of the balloon disappears from sight. In reality, however, buildings, balloons, trees, people, anything and everything at right angles to the ground/horizon remains so regardless the distance of the observer.

*“The marine horizon, from whatever position it is viewed, always appears to be, and is, in fact, a perfectly level line, and since this appearance is the same in all parts of the world, its surface must be level; and therefore the Earth is a Plane. This may be proved to be the case, by erecting at a suitable elevation on the sea shore, a duly-levelled board, or a string - at right angles to a plumb-line - tightly stretched between two vertical poles. On looking towards the sea, the horizontal line for a distance of 20 miles may be easily observed, and throughout its entire length it will be found to coincide with the straight-edge, or string: but if the earth were a globe, the horizontal line would form an arc of twenty miles in length, curveting both ways from the center, at the rate of eight inches, multiplied by the square of the distance. Hence the horizontal line at either end of the distance ought to be depressed some 66 feet below the horizon in the center. But as no such appearance is ever presented, it necessarily follows that the earth cannot be a globe, or other than a plane.”* -B. Chas. Brough, “The Zetetic” Volume 1 Number 1, July 1872



Anyone can prove the sea-horizon perfectly straight and the entire Earth perfectly flat using nothing more than a level, tripods and a wooden plank. At any altitude above sea-level, simply fix a 6-12 foot long,


smooth, leveled board edgewise upon tripods and observe the skyline from eye-level behind it. The distant horizon will always align perfectly parallel with the upper edge of the board. Furthermore, if you move in a half-circle from one end of the board to the other whilst observing the skyline over the upper edge, you will be able to trace a clear, flat 10-20 miles depending on your altitude. This would be impossible if the Earth were a globe and the surface of water convex! If the Earth were actually a globe 25,000 miles in circumference, the horizon



would align over the center of the board but then gradually, noticeably decline towards the extremities. Just ten miles on each side would necessitate an easily visible curvature of 66.6 feet from each end to the center.

*“It is known that the horizon at sea, whatever distance it may extend to the right and left of the observer on land, always appears as a straight line. The following experiment has been tried in various parts of the country. At Brighton, on a rising ground near the race course, two poles were fixed in the earth six yards apart, and directly opposite the sea. Between these poles a line was tightly stretched parallel to the horizon. From the center of the line the view embraced not less than 20 miles on each side making a distance of 40 miles. A vessel was observed sailing directly westwards; the line cut the rigging a little above the bulwarks, which it did for several hours or until the vessel had sailed the whole distance of 40 miles. The ship coming into view from the east would have to ascend an inclined plane for 20 miles until it arrived at the center of the arc, whence it would have to descend for the same distance. The square of 20 miles multiplied by 8 inches gives 266 feet as the amount the vessel would be below the line at the beginning and at the end of the 40 miles.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (20)*

From the highland near Portsmouth Harbor in Hampshire, England looking across Spithead to the Isle of Wight, the entire base of the island, where water and land come together composes a perfectly straight line 22 statute miles long.



According to the ball-Earth theory, the Isle of Wight should decline 80 feet from the center on each side to account for the necessary curvature. The cross-hairs of a good theodolite directed there, however, have repeatedly shown the land and water line to be perfectly level.

On a clear day from the highland near Douglas Harbor on the Isle of Man, the whole length of the coast of North Wales is often plainly visible to the naked eye. From the Point of Ayr at the mouth of the River Dee to Holyhead comprises a 50 mile stretch which has also been repeatedly found to be perfectly horizontal. If the Earth actually had curvature of 8 inches per mile squared, as NASA and modern astronomy claim, the 50 mile length of Welsh coast seen

along the horizon in Liverpool Bay would have to decline from the center-point an easily detectable 416 feet on each side!




*“But as such declination, or downward curvation, cannot be detected, the conclusion is logically inevitable that it has no existence. Let the reader seriously ask whether any and what reason exists in Nature to prevent the fall of more than 400 feet being visible to the eye, or incapable of detection by any optical or mathematical means whatever. This question is especially important when it is considered that at the same distance, and on the upper outline of the same land, changes of level of only a few yards extent are quickly and unmistakably perceptible. If a man is guided by evidence and reason, and influenced by a love of truth and*


*consistency, he cannot longer maintain that the earth is a globe. He must feel that to do so is to war with the evidence of his senses, to deny that any importance attaches to fact and experiment, to ignore entirely the value of logical process, and to cease to rely upon practical induction.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (28)*

## The Measurable Non-Curvature of the Flat-Earth

NASA and modern astronomers claim we are living on an oblate spheroid



25,000 statute miles in equatorial circumference with a curvature of 7.935 inches to the mile, varying inversely as the square of the distance, meaning in 3 miles there is a declination of nearly 6 feet, in 30 miles 600 feet, in 300 miles 60,000 feet and so on. Therefore, if we wish to prove or disprove the validity of their convexity claim, it is a fairly simple, straight-forward matter of measurements and calculations.



For example, the distance across the Irish Sea from the Isle of Man's Douglas Harbor to Great Orm's Head in North Wales is 60 miles. If the Earth

was a globe then the surface of the water between them would form a 60 mile arc, the center towering 1944 feet higher than the coastlines at either end! It is well-known and easily verifiable, however, that on a clear day, from a modest altitude of 100 feet, the Great Orm's Head is visible from Douglas Harbor. This would be completely impossible on a globe of 25,000 miles. Assuming the 100 foot altitude causes the horizon to appear approximately 13 miles off, the 47 miles remaining means the Welsh coastline should still fall an impossible 1472 feet below the line of sight!

*"In the Times newspaper of Monday, Oct. 16, 1854, in an account of her Majesty's visit to Great Grimsby from Hull, the following paragraph occurs:*

*'Their attention was first naturally directed to a gigantic tower which rises from the center pier to the height of 300 feet, and can be seen 60 miles out at sea.'* The 60 miles if nautical, and this is always understood when referring to distances at sea, would make 70 statute miles, to which the fall of 8 inches belongs,

*and as all observations at sea are considered to be made at an elevation of 10 feet above the water, for which four miles must be deducted from the whole distance, 66 statute miles will remain, the square of which multiplied by 8 inches, gives a declination towards the tower of 2,904 feet; deducting from this the altitude of the tower, 300 feet, we obtain the startling conclusion that the tower should be at the distance at which it is visible, more than 2,600 feet below the horizon!"* -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Earth Not a Globe, 2nd Edition" (174)



Indoctrinated naysayers will often retort that light refraction off the water's surface could account for such phenomena. To begin with, the idea that we cannot differentiate between the refracted light of something and the thing itself is preposterous, but even assuming we couldn't, surveyors' general allowance for refraction is only 1/12th the altitude of the object observed, making it a completely implausible explanation. Using the previous example of 2,600 feet divided by 12 gives 206, which subtracted from 2,600 leaves 2,384 feet that the tower should have remained below the horizon!



*“In September, 1898, I received a letter from Australia in which the writer says: ‘In the year 1872 I was on board the ship ‘Thomas Wood,’ Capt. Gibson from China to London. Owing to making a long passage, we ran short of provisions, and so short after rounding the Cape that the Captain spoke of putting into St. Helena for a supply. It was then my hobby to get the first glimpse of land, make a survey, just as the sun would*

*be rising. The island was clearly in view, well on the starboard bow. I reported this to Capt. Gibson. He disbelieved me, saying it was impossible as we were 75 miles distant. He, however, offered me paper and pencil to sketch the land I saw. This I did. He then said, ‘you are right,’ and shaped his course accordingly. I had never seen the Island before and could not have described the shape of it had I not seen it. St. Helena is a high volcanic island, and if my informant had seen the top only, there would have to be an allowance made for the height of the land, but as he sketched the island he must have seen the whole of it, which should have been 3,650 feet below the line of sight, if the world be a globe.” - Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogony” (21)*

In Chambers' Journal, February 1895, a sailor near Mauritius in the Indian Ocean reported having seen a vessel which turned out to be an incredible 200 miles away! The incident caused much heated debate in nautical circles at the time, gaining further confirmation in Aden, Yemen where another witness reported seeing a missing Bombay steamer from 200 miles away. He correctly




stated the precise appearance, location and direction of the steamer all later corroborated and confirmed correct by those onboard. Such sightings are absolutely inexplicable if the Earth were actually a ball 25,000 miles around, as ships 200 miles distant would have to be well over 4 miles below the line of sight!

*“Astronomers are in the habit of considering two points on the Earth's surface, without, it seems, any limit as to the distance that lies between them, as being on a level, and the intervening section, even though it be an ocean, as a vast ‘hill’ - of water! The*




*Atlantic ocean, in taking this view of the matter, would form a ‘hill of water’ more than a hundred miles high! The idea is simply monstrous, and could only be entertained by scientists whose whole business is made up of materials of the same description: and it certainly requires no argument to deduce, from such ‘science’ as this, a satisfactory proof that the Earth is not a globe. Every man in full command of his senses knows that a level surface is a flat or horizontal one; but astronomers tell us that the true level is the curved surface of a globe! They know that man requires a level surface on which to live, so they give him one in name which is not one in fact! This is the best that astronomers, with their theoretical science, can do for their fellow creatures - deceive them.” -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (18, 28)*



*“Vast areas exhibit a perfectly dead level, scarcely a rise existing through 1,500 miles from the Carpathians to the Urals. South of the Baltic the country is so flat that a prevailing north wind will drive the waters of the Stattiner Haf into the mouth of the Oder, and give the river a backward flow 30 or 40 miles. The plains of Venezuela and New Granada, in*

*South America chiefly on the left of the Orinoco, are termed Ilanos, or level fields. Often in the space of 270 square miles **the surface does not vary a single foot.** The Amazon only falls 12 feet in the last 700 miles of its course; the La Plata has only a descent of one thirty-third of an inch a mile.” -Rev. T. Milner, “Atlas of Physical Geography”*

*“These extracts clearly prove that the surface of the earth is level, and that*



*therefore the world is not a globe. And when we come to consider the surface of the world under the sea, we shall find the same uniformity of evidence against the popular view. In ‘Nature and Man,’ by Professor W.B. Carpenter, article ‘The Deep Sea and its Contents,’ the writer says: ‘If the bottom of the mid-ocean were laid dry, an observer standing on any spot of it would find himself surrounded BY A PLAIN, only comparable to that of the North American prairies or the South American pampas ... The form of the depressed area which lodges the water of the deep ocean is rather, indeed, to be likened to that of a FLAT WAITER or TEA TRAY, surrounded by an elevated and deeply sloping rim, than to that of the basin with which it is commonly compared.’ This remarkable writer tells of thousands of miles, in the Atlantic, the Pacific, and the great Southern Ocean beds being a plane surface, and from his remarks it is clear that A FLAT SURFACE IS THE GENERAL CONTOUR OF THE BED OF THE GREAT OCEANS FOR TENS OF THOUSANDS OF SQUARE MILES.” - Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (23)*

## **Flat-Earth Enlightenment from Lighthouses**


A copy of the book “The Lighthouses of the World” and a calculator are enough to prove that the Earth is not a globe, but an extended flat plane. The distance from which various lighthouse lights around the world are visible at sea far exceeds what could be found on a globe Earth 25,000 miles in circumference. For example, the Dunkerque Light in southern France at an altitude of 194 feet is visible from 28 miles away. Spherical trigonometry dictates that if the Earth was a globe with the given curvature of 8 inches per mile squared, this light should be hidden 190 feet below the horizon!



The Port Nicholson Light in New Zealand is 420 feet above sea-level and visible from 35 miles away which means it should be 220 feet below the horizon. The Egerö Light in Norway is 154 feet above high-water and visible from 28 statute miles where it should be 230 feet below the horizon. The Light at Madras, on the Esplanade, is 132 feet high and visible from 28 miles away, where it should be 250 feet below the

line of sight. The Cordonan Light on the west coast of France is 207 feet high and visible from 31 miles away, putting it 280 feet below the line of sight. The light at Cape Bonavista, Newfoundland is 150 feet above sea-level and visible at 35 miles, where it should be 491 feet below the horizon. And the lighthouse steeple of St. Botolph's Parish Church in Boston is 290 feet tall and visible from over 40 miles away, where it should be hidden a full 800 feet below the horizon!

*“The distance across St. George's Channel, between Holyhead and Kingstown Harbour, near Dublin, is at least 60 statute miles. It is not an uncommon thing for passengers to notice, when in, and for a considerable distance*



*beyond the centre of the Channel, the Light on Holyhead Pier, and the Poolbeg Light in Dublin Bay. The Lighthouse on Holyhead Pier shows a red light at an elevation of 44 feet above high water; and the Poolbeg Lighthouse exhibits two bright lights at an altitude of 68 feet; so that a vessel in the middle of the Channel would be 30 miles from each light; and allowing the observer to be on deck, and 24 feet above the water, the horizon on a globe would be 6 miles away. Deducting 6 miles from 30, the distance from the horizon to Holyhead, on the one hand, and to Dublin Bay on the other, would be 24 miles. The square of 24, multiplied by 8 inches, shows a declination of 384 feet. The altitude of the lights in Poolbeg Lighthouse is 68 feet; and of the red light on Holyhead Pier, 44 feet.*

*Hence, if the earth were a globe, the former would always be 316 feet and the latter 340 feet below the horizon!” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (59)*



*“The lights which are exhibited in lighthouses are seen by navigators at distances at which, according to the scale of the supposed ‘curvature’ given by astronomers, they ought to be many hundreds of feet, in some cases, down below the line of sight! For instance: the light at Cape Hatteras is seen at such a*

*distance (40 miles) that, according to theory, it ought to be nine-hundred feet higher above the level of the sea than it absolutely is, in order to be visible! This is a conclusive proof that there is no ‘curvature,’ on the surface of the sea - ‘the level of the sea,’ - ridiculous though it is to be under the necessity of proving it at all: but it is, nevertheless, a conclusive proof that the Earth is not a globe.” - William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (5)*

The Isle of Wight lighthouse in England is 180 feet high and can be seen up to 42 miles away, a distance at which modern astronomers say the light should fall 996 feet below line of sight. The Cape L’Agulhas lighthouse in South Africa is 33 feet high, 238 feet above sea level, and can be seen for over 50 miles. If the world was a globe, this light would fall 1,400 feet below an



observer’s line of sight! The Statue of Liberty in New York stands 326 feet above sea level and on a clear day can be seen as far as 60 miles away. If the Earth was a globe, that would put Lady Liberty at an impossible 2,074 feet below the horizon! The lighthouse at Port Said, Egypt, at an elevation of only 60 feet has been seen an astonishing 58 miles away, where, according to modern astronomy it should be 2,182 feet below the line of sight!


*“The distance at which lights can be seen at sea entirely disposes of the idea that we are living on a huge ball.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (58)*

Another great example is the Notre Dame Antwerp spire standing 403 feet high from the foot of the tower with Strasburg measuring 468 feet above sea level.



With the aid of a telescope, ships can be distinguished on the horizon and captains declare they can see the cathedral spire from an amazing 150 miles away. If the Earth were a globe, however, at that distance the spire should be an entire mile, 5,280 feet below the horizon!

*“In the account of the trigonometrical operations in France, by M. M. Biot and Arago, it is stated that the light of a powerful lamp, with good reflectors, was placed on a rocky summit, in Spain, called Desierto las Palmas, and was distinctly seen from Camprey, on the Island of Iviza. The elevation of the two points was nearly the same, and the distance between them nearly 100 miles. If the earth is a globe, the light on the rock in Spain would have been more than 6600 feet, or nearly one mile and a quarter, below the line of sight.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (59)*



A man named Lieutenant-Colonel Portlock used oxy-hydrogen Drummond's Lights and heliostats for reflecting the sun's rays across stations set up on Precelly, a mountain in South Wales and Kippure, a mountain 10 miles south-west of Dublin. The instruments were placed at the same altitude above sea-level and shined across 108 miles of St. George's Channel.

Technical problems plagued Portlock's experiment for weeks, until finally one successful morning he wrote: *“For five weeks I watched in vain; when, to my joy, the heliostat blazed out in the early beams of the rising sun, and continued visible as a bright star the whole day.”* If the world were a globe, Portlock's light should have remained forever invisible hidden under approximately a mile and a half of Earth's curvature!

*“If we take a journey down the Chesapeake Bay, by night, we shall see the ‘light’ exhibited at Sharpe's Island for an hour before the steamer gets to it. We may take up a position on the deck so that the rail of the vessel's side will be in a line with the ‘light’ and in the line of sight; and we shall find that in the whole journey the light won't vary in the slightest degree in its apparent elevation. But, say that a distance of thirteen miles has been traversed, the astronomers' theory of ‘curvature’ demands a difference (one way or the other!) in the apparent elevation of the light, of 112 feet 8 inches! Since, however, there is not a difference of 100 hair's breadths, we have a plain proof that the water of the*

*Chesapeake Bay is not curved, which is a proof that the Earth is not a globe.” - William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (36)*

*“We are fairly entitled to conclude, therefore, from the reliable data furnished as to how far lights at sea can be seen, that the world is an extended plane, and not the globe of astronomical speculation.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (62)*

### **Canals and Railways Attest to the Flat-Earth**

Surveyors, engineers and architects are never required to factor the supposed curvature of the Earth into their projects, providing another proof the world is a plane, not a planet. Canals and railways, for example, are always cut and laid horizontally, often over hundreds of miles, without any allowance for curvature.



One surveyor, Mr. T. Westwood, wrote into the January, 1896 “Earth Review” magazine stating that, *“In leveling, I work from Ordinance marks, or canal levels, to get the height above sea level. The puzzle to me used to be, that over several miles each level was and is treated throughout its whole length as the same level from end to end; not the least allowance being made for curvature. One of the civil engineers in this district, after some amount of argument on each side as to the reason why no allowance for curvature was made, said he did not believe anybody would know the shape of the earth in this life.”*

Another Surveyor and Engineer of thirty years wrote to the Birmingham Weekly Mercury, Feb. 15th, 1890 stating, *“I am thoroughly acquainted with the theory and practice of civil engineering. However bigoted some of our professors may be in the theory of surveying according to the prescribed rules, yet it is well known amongst us that such theoretical measurements are INCAPABLE OF ANY PRACTICAL ILLUSTRATION. All our locomotives are designed to run on what may be regarded as TRUE LEVELS or FLATS. There are, of course, partial*

*inclines or gradients here and there, but they are always accurately defined and must be carefully traversed. But anything approaching to eight inches in the mile, increasing as the square of the distance, COULD NOT BE WORKED BY ANY ENGINE THAT WAS EVER YET CONSTRUCTED. Taking one station with another all over England and Scotland, it may be stated that all the platforms are ON THE SAME RELATIVE LEVEL. The distance between Eastern and Western coasts of England may be set down as 300 miles. If the prescribed curvature was indeed as represented, the central stations at Rugby or Warwick ought to be close upon three miles higher than a chord drawn from the two extremities. If such was the case there is not a driver or stoker within the Kingdom that would be found to take charge of the train. We can only laugh at those of your readers who seriously give us credit for such venturesome exploits, as running trains round spherical curves. Horizontal curves on levels are dangerous enough, vertical curves would be a thousand times worse, and with our rolling stock constructed as at present **physically impossible.**"*



Engineer, W. Winckler, wrote into the Earth Review October 1893 regarding the Earth's supposed curvature, stating, "*As an engineer of many years standing, I saw that this absurd allowance is only permitted in school books. No engineer would dream of allowing anything of the kind. I have projected many miles of railways and many more of canals and the allowance has not even been thought of, much less allowed for. This allowance for curvature means this - that it is 8" for the first mile of a canal, and increasing at the ratio by the square of the distance in miles; thus a small navigable canal for boats, say 30 miles long, will have, by the above rule an allowance for curvature of 600 feet. Think of that and then please credit engineers as not being quite such fools. Nothing of the sort is allowed. We no more think of allowing 600 feet for a line of 30 miles of railway or canal, than of wasting our time trying to square the circle*"

The Suez Canal which connects the Mediterranean Sea with the Gulf of Suez on the Red Sea is a clear proof of the Earth's and water's non-convexity. The canal is 100 miles long and without any locks so the water within is an uninterrupted continuation of the Mediterranean Sea to the Red Sea. When it was constructed, the Earth's supposed curvature was not taken into account, it was dug along a

horizontal datum line 26 feet below sea-level, passing through several lakes from one sea to the other, with the datum line and the water's surface running perfectly parallel over the 100 miles. The average level of the Mediterranean is 6 inches above the Red Sea, while the floodtides in the Red Sea rise 4 feet above the highest and drop 3 feet below the lowest in the Mediterranean, making the half-tide level of the Red Sea, the surface of the Mediterranean Sea, and the 100 miles of water in the canal, all a clear continuation of the same horizontal line! Were they instead the supposed curved line of globe-Earthers, the water in the center of the canal would be 1666 feet ( $50^2 \times 8 \text{ inches} = 1666 \text{ feet } 8 \text{ inches}$ ) above the respective Seas on either side!

*“The distance between the Red Sea at Suez and the Mediterranean Sea is 100 statute miles, the datum line of the Canal being 26 feet below the level of the Mediterranean, and is continued horizontally the whole way from sea to sea, there not being a single lock on the Canal, the surface of the water being parallel*



*with the datum line. It is thus clear that there is no curvature or globularity for the whole hundred miles between the Mediterranean and the Red Sea; had there been, according to the Astronomic theory, the middle of the Canal would have been 1,666 feet higher than at either end, whereas the Canal is perfectly horizontal for the whole distance. The Great Canal of China, said to be 700 miles in length, was made without regard to any allowance for supposed curvature, as the Chinese believe the Earth to be a Stationary Plane. I may also add that no allowance was made for it in the North Sea Canal, or in the Manchester Ship Canal, both recently constructed, thus clearly proving that there is no globularity in Earth or Sea, so that the world cannot possibly be a Planet.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (134)*

*“If the earth be the globe of popular belief, it is very evident that in cutting a canal, an allowance must be made for the curvature of the globe, which allowance would correspond to the square of the distance multiplied by eight inches. From The Age, of 5th August 1892, I extract the following: ‘The German Emperor performed the ceremony of opening the Gates of the Baltic and*



*North Sea Canal, in the spring of 1891. The canal starts at Holtenau, on the south side of Kiel Bay, and joins the Elbe 15 miles above its mouth. It is 61 miles long, 200 feet wide at the surface and 85 feet at the bottom, the depth being 28 feet. No locks are required, as **the surface of the two seas is level.**' Let those who believe it is the practice for surveyors to make allowance for 'curvature' ponder over the following from the Manchester Ship Canal Company (Earth Review, October, 1893) 'It is customary in Railway and Canal constructions for all levels to be referred to a datum which is nominally horizontal and is so shown on all sections. **It is not the practice in laying out Public Works to make allowances for the curvature of the earth.**' -Thomas Winship, "Zetetic Cosmogeny" (23)*



The London and Northwestern Railway forms a straight line 180 miles long between London and Liverpool. The railroad's highest point, midway at Birmingham station, is only 240 feet above sea-level. If the world were actually a globe, however, curveting 8 inches per mile squared, the 180 mile stretch of rail would form an arc with

the center point at Birmingham raising a full 5,400 feet above London and Liverpool. Adding the station's actual height (240 feet) to its theoretical inclination (5,400 feet) gives 5,640 feet as the rail's necessary height on a globe-Earth, more than a thousand feet taller than Ben Nevis, the tallest mountain in Great Britain!

*"In projecting railways on a globe, the datum line would be the arc of a circle corresponding to the latitude of the place. That the datum line for the railway projections is always a horizontal line, proves that the general configuration of the world is horizontal. To support the globe theory, the gentlemen of the observatories should call upon the surveyor to prove that he allows the necessary amount for 'curvature.' But this is what the learned men dare not do, as it is well-known that the allowance for*



*the supposed curvature is never made.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (107)*

*“In a long line, like that of the Great Pacific Railway, extending across North America, the supposed curvature would, of course, be proportionately great, extending to many miles in height, but not one inch was allowed by the engineers for curvature during the whole course of the construction of that vast line of Railway. And, if we think of it, how could it be otherwise? All Railway metals must, of necessity, be straight, for how could any engine or carriage run with safety on a convex surface?” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (125)*



J.C. Bourne in his book, “The History of the Great Western Railway” stated that the entire original English railroad, more than 118 miles long, that the whole line with the exception of the inclined planes, may be regarded practically as level. The British Parliament Session in 1862 that approved its construction recorded in Order No. 44 for the proposed

railway, *“That the section be drawn to the same HORIZONTAL scale as the plan, and to a vertical scale of not less than one inch to every one hundred feet, and shall show the surface of the ground marked on the plan, the intended level of the proposed work, the height of every embankment, and the depth of every cutting, and a DATUM HORIZONTAL LINE which shall be the same throughout the whole length of the work.”*

*“One hundred and eighteen miles of LEVEL railway, and yet the surface on which it is projected a globe? Impossible. It cannot be. Early in 1898 I met Mr. Hughes, chief officer of the steamer ‘City of Lincoln.’ This gentleman told me he had projected thousands of miles of level railway in South America, and never heard of any allowance for curvature being made. On one occasion he surveyed over one thousand miles of railway which was a perfect straight line all the way. It is well known that in the Argentine Republic and other parts of South America, there are railways thousands of miles long without curve or gradient. In projecting railways, the world is acknowledged to be a plane, and if it were a globe the rules of projection have yet to be discovered. Level railways prove a*


*level world, to the utter confusion of the globular school of impractical men with high salaries and little brains.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogony” (109)*

*“That in all surveys no allowance is made for curvature, which would be a necessity on a globe; that a horizontal line is in every case the datum line, the same line being continuous throughout the whole length of the work; and that the theodolite cuts a line at equal altitudes on either side of it, which altitude is the same as that of the instrument, clearly proves, to those who will accept proof when it is furnished, that the world is a plane and not a globe.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogony” (126)*

### **The Flat-Earth Proven by Pilots and Sailors**

If the Earth were a sphere, airplane pilots would have to constantly correct their altitudes downwards so as to not fly straight off into “outer space!” If the Earth were truly a sphere 25,000 miles circumference curveting 8 inches per mile squared, a pilot wishing to simply maintain their altitude at a typical cruising speed of 500 mph, would have to constantly dip their nose downwards and descend 2,777 feet (over half a mile) every minute! Otherwise, without

compensation, in one hour’s time the pilot would find themselves 166,666 feet (31.5 miles) higher than expected! A plane flying at a typical 35,000 feet wishing to maintain that altitude at the upper-rim of the so-called “Troposphere” in one hour would find themselves over 200,000 feet high into the “Mesosphere” with a steadily raising trajectory the longer they go. I have talked to several pilots, and no such compensation for the Earth’s supposed curvature is ever made. When pilots set an altitude, their artificial horizon gauge remains level and so does their course; nothing like the necessary 2,777 foot per minute declination is ever taken into consideration.



*“It must be obvious to the reader that, if the earth be the globe of popular belief, the rules observed for navigating a vessel from one part of this globe to another, must be in conformity to its figure. The datum line in navigation would be an arc of a circle, and all computations would be based on the convexity of water and worked out by spherical trigonometry. Let me preface my remarks on the important branch of our subject by stating that at sea the datum line is always a horizontal line; spherical trigonometry is never used, and not one out of one*

*thousand shipmasters understands spherical trigonometry.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (86)*




Airplane pilots and sea navigators fly and sail as though the Earth were a plane. Pilots reach their desired altitude and maintain it effortlessly for hours, never contending with anything like 2,777 feet per minute of forced inclination due to Earth’s curvature. Similarly, ship captains in navigating great distances at sea, never need to factor the supposed curvature of the Earth into their calculations! Both Plane Sailing and Great Circle

Sailing, the most popular navigation methods, use plane, not spherical, trigonometry.

*“Plane Sailing is usually defined to be the art of navigating a ship on the supposition that the earth is a plane ... even when longitude enters into consideration, it is still with the plane triangle only that we have to deal ... but as the investigation here given in the text shows, the rules for plane sailing would equally hold good though the surface were a plane.” -J.R. Young, “Navigation and Nautical Astronomy”*

*“It must be evident to everyone who understands what a triangle is, that the base of any such figure on a globe would be an arc of a circle, of which the center would be the center of the globe. Thus, instead of a plane triangle, the figure would contain one plane angle and two spherical angles. Hence, if the plane triangle is what we have to deal with, and such is the*



*case, the base of the triangle would be a straight line - the ocean. That all triangulation used at sea is plane, proves that the sea is a plane. The foregoing quotation states that a plane triangle is used for a spherical surface, but ‘the rules for plane sailing would equally hold good though the surface were a*





*and grant you anything you like to demand.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (91)*

*“If the Earth were a globe, a small model globe would be the very best - because the truest - thing for the navigator to take to sea with him. But such a thing as that is not known: with such a toy as a guide, the mariner would wreck his ship, of a certainty! This is a proof that Earth is not a globe ... As the mariners' compass points north and south at one and the same time, and a meridian is a north and south line, it follows that meridians can be no other than straight lines. But, since all meridians on a globe are semicircles, it is an incontrovertible proof that the Earth is not a globe.” -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (8-13)*

*“The needle of this most important instrument is straight, its two ends pointing North and South at the same time, consequently the meridians must be*




*straight lines also; whereas, on a Globe, they are semi-circles. Even at the Equator the needle points straight, which would be impossible, were that the mid-way of a vast convex Globe, as, in such case, the one end would dip towards the North, and the other be pointed towards the sky. Again, the navigator, when he goes to sea, takes his observations, and relies on the Compass to guide him as to the direction in which he wishes to proceed ; he does not provide himself with the model of a Globe, which, if the world were a Globe, would surely be the safest plan for him to adopt, but he takes flat maps or charts. Thus, in practice, he sails his ship as if the sea were horizontal, though in theory he had been erroneously taught that it is convex.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (99)*

### **The South Pole Does Not Exist!**

In the Flat-Earth model of the cosmos, the North Pole is the immovable center of the world and the entire universe. Polaris, the North Star, sits straight over the North Pole at the highest point in the heavens, and like a slowly rotating planetarium dome all the celestial bodies revolve around Polaris and over the Earth once per day. The Sun circles over and around the circumference of Earth

every 24 hours, steadily travelling each day from the equator during the March vernal equinox, up to the Tropic of Cancer at the June summer solstice, back down to the equator for the September autumnal equinox, and all the way down to the Tropic of Capricorn on the December winter solstice.



In the Flat-Earth model, the South Pole does not exist at all and Antarctica is instead a gigantic ice-wall extending the circumference of Earth holding in the oceans like a giant bowl, or a “world cup.” As strange as this concept may

sound at first, it is a fact that if you set a bearing due South from anywhere on Earth, inevitably at or before 78 degrees Southern latitude, you will find yourself face-to-face with an enormous ice-wall towering 100-200 feet in the air extending to the East and West the entire circumference of the world!

*“The ice-barrier, so frequently referred to in accounts of the Antarctic regions, is the forefront of the enormous glacier-covering, or ice-cap, which, accumulating in vast, undulating fields from the heavy snowfall, and ultimately attaining hundreds, if not thousands, of feet in thickness, creeps from the continent of Antarctica into the*



*polar sea. The ice-barrier, yet a part of the parent ice-cap, presents itself to the navigator who has boldness enough to approach its fearful front, as a solid, perpendicular wall of marble-like ice, ranging from one thousand to two thousand feet in thickness, of which from one hundred to two hundred feet rises*



*above, and from eight hundred to eighteen hundred feet sinks below, the level of the sea."* -Greely, General A. W. "Antarctica, or the Hypothetical Southern Continent." *Cosmopolitan* 17 (1894): p. 296



*"It has been demonstrated that the earth is a plane, the surface-centre of which is immediately underneath the star called 'Polaris,' and the extremities of which are bounded by a vast region of ice and water and irregular masses of land. The whole terminates in fog and darkness,*

*where snow and driving hail, piercing sleet and boisterous winds, howling storms, madly-mounting waves, and clashing icebergs are almost constant."* - Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (117)

Antarctica is not the tiny "ice-continent" found confined to the underside antipode of astronomer's globes. Quite the contrary, Antarctica literally surrounds us 360 degrees, encircles every continent, and acts as a barrier holding in the oceans. The most commonly asked questions, and the greatest mysteries yet to be solved are: how far does the Antarctic ice extend outwards? Is there a limit? What lies beyond, or is it just snow and ice forever? Thanks to U.N. treaties and constant military surveillance, the North Pole and Antarctica remain cloaked in government secrecy, both purported "no-fly/no-sail" zones, with several reports of civilian pilots and captains being shooed away and escorted back under threat of violence.







*“How far the ice extends; how it terminates; and what exists beyond it, are questions to which no present human experience can reply. All we at present know is, that*

*snow and hail, howling winds, and indescribable storms and hurricanes prevail; and that in every direction ‘human ingress is barred by unsealed escarpments of perpetual ice,’ extending farther than eye or telescope can penetrate, and becoming lost in gloom and darkness.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (91)*


Before reaching the Antarctic ice-wall, navigating the increasingly tumultuous Southern oceans, explorers encounter the longest, darkest, coldest nights and the most dangerous seas and storms anywhere on Earth. Vasco de Gama, an early 16th century Portuguese explorer of the South Seas wrote how, *“The waves rise like mountains in height; ships are heaved up to the clouds, and apparently precipitated by circling whirlpools to the bed of the ocean.*



*The winds are piercing cold, and so boisterous that the pilot’s voice can seldom be heard, whilst a dismal and almost continual darkness adds greatly to the danger.”*

In 1773 Captain Cook became the first modern explorer known to have breached the Antarctic Circle and reached the ice barrier. During three voyages, lasting three years and eight days, Captain Cook and crew sailed a total of 60,000 miles along the Antarctic coastline never once finding an inlet or path through or beyond the massive glacial wall! Captain Cook wrote: *“The ice extended east*

*and west far beyond the reach of our sight, while the southern half of the horizon was illuminated by rays of light which were reflected from the ice to a considerable height. It was indeed my opinion that this ice extends quite to the pole, or perhaps joins some land to which it has been fixed since creation.”*



On October 5th, 1839 another explorer, James Clark Ross began a series of Antarctic voyages lasting a total of 4 years and 5 months. Ross and his crew sailed two heavily armored warships thousands of miles, losing many men from hurricanes and icebergs, looking for an entry point beyond the southern glacial wall. Upon first confronting the massive barrier Captain Ross wrote of

the wall, “*extending from its eastern extreme point as far as the eye could discern to the eastward. It presented an extraordinary appearance, gradually increasing in height, as we got nearer to it, and proving at length to be a perpendicular cliff of ice, between one hundred and fifty feet and two hundred feet above the level of the sea, perfectly flat and level at the top, and without any fissures or promontories on its even seaward face. We might with equal chance of success try to sail through the cliffs of Dover, as to penetrate such a mass.*”


“*Yes, but we can circumnavigate the South easily enough, ’ is often said by those who don't know, The British Ship Challenger recently completed the circuit of the Southern region - indirectly, to be sure - but she was three years about it, and traversed nearly 69,000 miles - a stretch long enough to have taken her six times round on the globular hypothesis.*” -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (78)

*“If we now consider the fact that when we travel by land or sea, and from any part of the known world, in a direction towards the North polar star, we shall arrive at one and the same point, we are forced to the conclusion that what has hitherto been called the North Polar region, is really the center of the*



*Earth. That from this northern center the land diverges and stretches out, of necessity, towards a circumference, which must now be called the Southern region: which is a vast circle, and not a pole or center ... In this and other ways all the great navigators have been frustrated in their efforts, and have been more or less confounded in their attempts to sail round the Earth upon or beyond the Antarctic circle. But if the southern region is a pole or center, like the north, there would be little difficulty in circumnavigating it, for the distance round would be comparatively small. When it is seen that the Earth is not a sphere, but a plane, having only one center, the north; and that the south is the vast icy boundary of the world, the difficulties experienced by circumnavigators can be easily understood.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Earth Not a Globe, 2nd Edition”*

(21-23)



If the Earth were truly a globe, then every line of latitude South of the equator would have to measure a gradually smaller and smaller circumference the farther South travelled. In other words, the circumference at 10 degrees South latitude would comprise a smaller circle than at the equator, 20 degrees South latitude would comprise a circle smaller than 10, and so on. If, however, the Earth is an extended plane, then every line of latitude South of the equator should measure

a gradually larger and larger circumference the farther South travelled. 10 degrees South latitude will comprise a larger circle than the equator, 20 degrees



South latitude will comprise a circle larger than 10, and so on. Likewise, if the Earth were a globe, lines of longitude would bubble out at the equator while converging at both poles. Whereas if the Earth is an extended plane, lines of longitude should simply expand straight outwards from the North Pole. So which is actually the case?

*“Upon the principle, as taught by Scripture and common observation, that the world is not a Planet, but consists of vast masses of land stretched out upon level seas, the North being the centre of the system, it is evident that the degrees of longitude will gradually increase in width the whole way from the*



*North centre to the icy boundary of the great Southern Circumference. In consequence of the difference between the actual extent of longitudes and that allowed for them by the Nautical Authorities, which difference, at the latitude of the Cape of Good Hope, has been estimated to amount to a great number of miles, many Ship-masters have lost their reckoning, and many vessels have been wrecked. Ship-captains, who have been educated in the globular theory, know not how to account for their getting so much out of their course in Southern latitudes, and generally put it down to currents; but this reason is futile, for although currents may exist, they do not usually run in opposite directions, and vessels are frequently wrecked, whether sailing East or West.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (102)*



During Captain James Clark Ross’s voyages around the Antarctic circumference, he often wrote in his journal perplexed at how they routinely found themselves out of accordance with their charts, stating that they found themselves an average of 12-16 miles outside their reckoning every day, some days as much as 29 miles. Lieutenant Charles



Wilkes commanded a United States Navy exploration expedition to the Antarctic from August 18th, 1838 to June 10th, 1842, almost four years spent “exploring and surveying the Southern ocean.” In his journals Lieutenant Wilkes also mentioned being consistently east of his reckoning, sometimes over 20 miles in less than 18 hours.

*“The commanders of these various expeditions were, of course, with their education and belief in the earth's rotundity, unable to conceive of any other cause for the differences between log and chronometer results than the existence of currents. But one simple fact is entirely fatal to such an explanation, viz., that when the route taken is east or west the same results are experienced. The water of the southern region cannot be running in two opposite directions at the same time; and hence, although various local and variable currents have been noticed, they cannot be shown to be the cause of the discrepancies so generally observed in high southern latitudes between time and log results. The conclusion is one of necessity, forced upon us by the sum of the evidence collected that the degrees of longitude in any given southern latitude are larger than the degrees in any latitude nearer to the northern center; thus proving the already more than sufficiently demonstrated fact that the earth is a plane, having a northern center, in relation to which degrees of latitude are concentric, and from which degrees of longitude are diverging lines, continually increasing in their distance from each other as they are prolonged towards the great glacial southern circumference.”* -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy: Earth Not a Globe!” (261)



*“February 11th, 1822, at noon, in latitude 65.53. S. our chronometers gave 44 miles more westing than the log in three days. On 22nd of April (1822), in latitude 54.16. S. our longitude by chronometers was 46.49, and by D.R. (dead reckoning) 47° 11': On 2nd May (1822), at noon, in latitude 53.46. S., our*

*longitude by chronometers was  $59^{\circ} 27'$ , and by D.R.  $61^{\circ} 6'$ . October 14th, in latitude 58.6, longitude by chronometers  $62^{\circ} 46'$ , by account  $65^{\circ} 24'$ . In latitude 59.7. S., longitude by chronometers was  $63^{\circ} 28'$ , by account  $66^{\circ} 42'$ . In latitude 61.49. S., longitude by chronometers was  $61^{\circ} 53'$ , by account  $66^{\circ} 38'$ .” -Captain James Weddell, “Voyages Towards the South Pole”*

*“In the southern hemisphere, navigators to India have often fancied themselves east of the Cape when still west, and have been driven ashore on the African coast, which, according to their reckoning, lay behind them. This misfortune happened to a fine frigate, the Challenger, in 1845. How came Her Majesty’s Ship ‘Conqueror,’ to be lost? How have so many other noble vessels, perfectly sound, perfectly manned, perfectly navigated, been wrecked in calm weather, not only in dark night, or in a fog, but in broad daylight and sunshine - in the former case upon the coasts, in the latter, upon sunken rocks - from being ‘out of reckoning,’ under circumstances which until now, have baffled every satisfactory explanation.” -Rev. Thomas Milner, “Tour Through Creation”*



The equatorial circumference of the supposed ball-Earth is said to be 24,900 statute or 21,600 nautical miles. A nautical mile is the distance, following the supposed curvature of the Earth, from one minute of latitude to the next. A statute mile is the straight line distance between the two, not taking into account Earth’s alleged curvature.

The “Australian Handbook, Almanack, Shippers’ and Importers’ Directory” states that the distance between Sydney and Nelson is 1400 nautical or 1633 statute miles. Allowing a more than sufficient 83 miles as the distance for rounding Cape Farewell and sailing up Tasman Bay to Nelson leaves 1550 statute miles as the straight-line distance from the meridian of Sydney to the meridian of Nelson. Their given difference in longitude is 22 degrees 2’14”. Therefore if 22 degrees 2’14” out of 360 is 1550 miles, the entirety measures 25,182 miles. This is larger than the Earth is said to be at the equator, and 4262 miles greater than it would be at Sydney’s southern latitude on a globe of said proportions! One 360th part of 25,182 gives 70 miles as the distance between each degree of longitude at Sydney’s 34 degree Southern latitude. On a globe

25,000 miles in equatorial circumference, however, degrees of longitude at 34 degrees latitude would be only 58 miles, a full 12 miles per degree less than reality. This perfectly explains why Ross and other navigators in the deep South experienced 12+ mile daily discrepancies between their reckoning and reality, the farther South travelled the farther the divide.

*“From near Cape Horn, Chile to Port Philip in Melbourne, Australia the distance is 9,000 miles. These two places are 143 degrees of longitude from each other. Therefore the whole extent of the Earth’s circumference is a mere arithmetical question. If 143 degrees make 9,000 miles, what will be the distance made by the whole 360 degrees into which the surface is divided? The answer is, 22,657 miles; or, 8357 miles more than the theory of rotundity would permit. It must be borne in mind, however, that the above distances are nautical measure, which, reduced to statute miles, gives the actual distance round the Southern region at a given latitude as 26,433 statute miles; or nearly 1,500 miles more than the largest circumference ever assigned to the Earth at the equator.”*  
-Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Earth Not a Globe, 2nd Edition” (52)

Similar calculations made from the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa to Melbourne, Australia at an average latitude of 35.5 degrees South, have given an approximate figure of over 25,000 miles, which is again equal to or greater than the Earth’s supposed greatest circumference at the equator. Calculations from Sydney, Australia to Wellington, New Zealand at an average of 37.5 degrees South have given an approximate circumference of 25,500 miles, greater still!



According to the ball-Earth theory, the circumference of the Earth at 37.5 degrees Southern latitude should be only 19,757 statute miles, almost six thousand miles less than such practical measurements.

*“The above calculations are, as already stated, only proximate; but as liberal allowances have been made for irregularities of route, etc., they are sufficiently accurate to prove that the degrees of longitude, as we proceed south-wards, do*

*not diminish, as they would upon a globe, but expand or increase, as they must if the earth is a plane; or, in other words, the farthest point, or greatest latitude south, must have the greatest circumference and degrees of longitude.*” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy: Earth Not a Globe!” (258)

*“Parallels of latitude only - of all imaginary lines on the surface of the Earth - are circles, which increase, progressively, from the northern centre to the southern circumference. The mariner's course in the direction of any one of these concentric circles is his longitude, the degrees of which INCREASE to such an extent beyond the equator (going southwards) that hundreds of vessels have been wrecked because of the false idea created by the untruthfulness of the charts and the globular theory together, causing the sailor to be continually getting out of his reckoning. With a map of the Earth in its true form all difficulty is done away with, and ships may be conducted anywhere with perfect safety. This, then, is a very important practical proof that the Earth is not a globe.”* -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (14)



### **The Arctic and Antarctic Attest to the Flat-Earth**

If the Earth were truly a globe, the Arctic and Antarctic polar regions and areas of comparable latitude North and South of the equator should share similar conditions and characteristics

such as comparable temperatures, seasonal changes, length of daylight, plant and animal life. In reality, however, the Arctic/Antarctic regions and areas of comparable latitude North/South of the equator differ greatly in many ways.

*“If the earth be the globe of popular belief, the same amount of heat and cold, summer and winter, should be experienced at the same latitudes North and South of the Equator. The same number of plants and animals would be found, and the same general conditions exist. That the very opposite is the case, disproves the globular assumption. The great contrasts between places at the same latitudes North and South of the Equator, is a strong argument against the received doctrine of the rotundity of the earth.”* -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (8)



Antarctica is by far the coldest place on Earth with an average annual temperature of approximately -57 degrees Fahrenheit, and a record low of -135.8! The average annual temperature at the North Pole, however, is a comparatively warm 4 degrees. Throughout the year, temperatures in the Antarctic vary less than half the amount at comparable Arctic latitudes. The Northern Arctic region enjoys moderately warm summers and manageable winters, whereas the Southern Antarctic region never even warms enough to melt the perpetual snow and ice.

### Arctic Winter, Arctic Summer



*“This uniformity of temperature partly accounts for the great accumulation of ice which is formed not on account of the great severity of the winter, but because there is practically no summer to melt it. In the Antarctic there is eternal winter and snow never melts. As far north as a man has travelled he has found reindeer and hare basking in the sun, and country brilliant with rich flora; within the Antarctic circle no plant is to be found.”* -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (9)



The island of Kerguelen at 49 degrees Southern latitude has only 18 species of native plants that can survive its hostile climate. Compare this with the island of Iceland at 65 degrees Northern latitude, 16 degrees further North of the equator than Kerguelen is South, yet Iceland is home to 870 species of native plants. On the Isle of Georgia, just 54 degrees Southern latitude, the same latitude as Canada or England in the North, where dense forests of various tall trees abound,

the infamous Captain Cook wrote that he was unable to find a single shrub large enough to make a toothpick! Cook wrote, *“Not a tree was to be seen. The lands*

*which lie to the south are doomed by nature to perpetual frigidness - never to feel the warmth of the sun's rays; whose horrible and savage aspect I have not words to describe. Even marine life is sparse in certain tracts of vast extent, and the sea-bird is seldom observed flying over such lonely wastes. The contrasts between the limits of organic life in Arctic and Antarctic zones is very remarkable and significant. Vegetables and land animals are found at nearly 80 degrees in the north; while from the parallel of 58 degrees in the south, the lichen, and such-like plants only, clothe the rocks, and seabirds and the cetaceous tribes alone are seen upon the desolate beaches."*

In the Arctic there are 4 clearly distinguished seasons, warm summers, and an abundance of plant and animal life, none of which can be said of the Antarctic. The Eskimo live as far North as the 79th parallel, whereas in the South no native man is found higher than the 56th.



Admiral Ferdinand von

Wrangel, the 19th century Russian Arctic explorer, wrote how in the North, *"Countless herds of reindeer, elks, black bears, foxes, sable and grey squirrels fill the upland forests; stone foxes and wolves roam over the low ground; enormous flights of swans, geese, and ducks arrive in spring, and seek deserts where they may moult, and build their nests in safety. Eagles, gulls, and owls pursue their prey along the sea-coast; ptarmigan run in troops among the bushes; little snipes are busy among the brooks and in the morasses; the social crows seek the neighbourhood of man's habitations; and when the sun shines in spring, one may sometimes even hear the cheerful note of the finch, and in autumn that of the thrush."*

*"Beyond the 70th degree of Southern latitude not a tree meets the eye, wearied with the white waste of snow; forests, woods, even shrubs have disappeared, and given place to a few lichens and creeping woody plants, which scantily clothe the indurated soil. Still, in the farthest north, Nature claims her birthright of beauty; and in the brief and rapid summer she brings forth numerous flowers and grasses, to bloom for a few days, to be again blasted by the swiftly-recurring winter. The rapid fervour of an arctic summer had already (June 1st) converted*

*the snowy waste into luxuriant pasture-ground, rich in flowers and grass, with almost the same lively appearance as that of an English meadow." -W. & R. Chambers, "Arctic Explorations"*

In New Zealand situated at 42 degrees Southern latitude, on the Winter Solstice the Sun rises at 4:31am and sets at 7:29pm, making the longest day of the year 14 hours and 58 minutes. On the Summer Solstice, the New Zealand Sun rises at 7:29am and sets at 4:31pm, making the shortest day 9 hours and 2 minutes long. Meanwhile, in England, a full 10 degrees farther North of the equator than New Zealand lies South, the longest day is 16 hours and 34 minutes, the shortest day 7 hours and 45 minutes. Therefore the longest day in New Zealand is 1 hour and 36 minutes shorter than the longest day in England, and the shortest day in New Zealand is 1 hour and 17 minutes longer than the shortest day in England.



William Swainson, an Englishman who emigrated and became Attorney General of New Zealand in the mid-19th century lived in both countries for decades and wrote of their differences, stating, *"The range of temperature is limited, there being no excess of either heat or cold; compared with the climate of England, the summer of New Zealand is but very little*

*warmer though considerably longer. Even in summer, people here have no notion of going without fires in the evening; but then, though the days are very warm and sunny, the nights are always cold. For seven months last summer, we had not one day that the sun did not shine as brilliantly as it does in England in the finest day in June; and though it has more power here, the heat is not nearly so oppressive. But then there is not the twilight which you get in England. Here it is light till about eight o'clock, then, in a few minutes, it becomes too dark to see anything, and the change comes over in almost no time. The seasons are the reverse of those in England. Spring commences in September, summer in December, autumn in April, and winter in June. The days are an hour shorter at each end of the day in summer, and an hour longer in the winter than in England."*

In the Flat-Earth model of the cosmos, these Arctic/Antarctic phenomena are easily accounted for and exactly what would be expected. If the Sun circles over



and around the Earth every 24 hours, steadily travelling from Tropic to Tropic every 6 months, it follows that the Northern, central region would annually receive far more heat and sunlight than the Southern circumferential region. Since the Sun must sweep over the larger Southern region in the same 24 hours it has to pass over the smaller Northern region, its passage must necessarily be proportionally faster as well. This is why the Antarctic morning dawn and evening twilight are very abrupt, whereas in the extreme North twilight continues for hours after sunset and many midsummer nights the Sun does not set at all!

*“If the sun is fixed, and the earth revolves underneath it, the same phenomena would exist at the same distance on each side of the equator; but such is not the case! What can operate to cause the twilight in New Zealand to be so much more sudden, or the nights so much colder than in England? The southern ‘hemisphere’ cannot revolve more rapidly than the northern! The latitudes are about the same, and the distance*



*round a globe would be the same at 50° south as at 50° north, and as the whole would revolve once in twenty-four hours, the surface at the two places would pass underneath the sun with the same velocity, and the light would approach in the morning, and recede in the evening in exactly the same manner, yet the very contrary is the fact! ... The constant sunlight of the north develops, with the utmost rapidity, numerous forms of vegetable life, and furnishes subsistence for millions of living creatures. But in the south, where the sunlight never dwells, or lingers about a central region, but rapidly sweeps over sea and land, to complete in twenty-four hours the great circle of the southern circumference, it has not time to excite and stimulate the surface; and, therefore, even in comparatively low southern latitudes, everything wears an aspect of desolation. These differences in the north and south could not exist if the earth were a globe, turning upon axes underneath a non-moving sun. The two hemispheres would at the same latitudes have the same degree of light and heat, and the same general*



*phenomena, both in kind and degree. The peculiarities which are found in the south as compared with the north, are only such as could exist upon a stationary plane, having a northern centre, concentric with which is the path of the moving sun.*” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (116-121)

*“Every year the Sun is as long south of the equator as he is north; and if the Earth were not ‘stretched out’ as it is, in fact, but turned under, as the Newtonian theory suggests it would certainly get as intensive a share of the Sun’s rays south as north; but the Southern region being, in consequence of the fact stated, - far more extensive than the region North, the Sun, having to complete his journey round every twenty-four hours, travels quicker as he goes further south, from September to December, and his influence has less time in which to accumulate at any given point. Since, then the facts could not be as they are if the Earth were a globe, it is a proof that the Earth is not a globe.”* -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (53)



### **The Midnight Sun Proves Universe Geocentric**

During Arctic summer, from the 22nd to the 25th of June, at a high enough latitude and altitude, you can watch a phenomenon known as “the Midnight Sun” where the Sun stays continuously visible in the sky for

3 days straight! The “Midnight Sun” rises on the 22nd and for the next 72 hours never disappears from sight, slowly ascending and descending every 12 hours, showing 3 brilliant “sunsets” and “sunrises” without ever actually setting below the horizon. In “The Brighton Examiner” of July, 1870, United States Ambassador to Norway, Mr. Campbell, described his experience witnessing the Midnight Sun with a group of gentlemen, on a cliff 1000 feet above the Arctic Sea at the 69th North parallel:

*"It was late but still sunlight. The Arctic Ocean stretched away in silent vastness at our feet, the sound of the waves scarcely reached our airy look-out. Away in the north the huge old Sun swung low along the horizon, like the slow beat of the tall clock in our grandfather's parlour corner. We all stood silently looking at our watches. When both hands stood together at twelve midnight, the full round orb hung triumphantly above the waves—a bridge of gold running due north*


*spangled the water between us and him. There he shone in silent majesty which knew no setting. We involuntarily took off our hats—no word was said. Combine the most brilliant sunrise you ever saw, and its beauties will pall before the gorgeous colouring which lit up the ocean, heaven, and mountains. In half an hour the Sun had swung up perceptibly on its beat, the colours had changed to those of morning. A fresh breeze had rippled over the florid sea; one songster after another piped out of the grove behind us—we had slid into another day."*




*"Tourists from Haparanda prefer going to Avasaxa, a hill 680 feet above the sea, from which though eight or ten miles south of the arctic circle, they*

*can see the midnight sun for three days. As the voyage drew to a close, and we approached the upper end of the Gulf of Bothnia the twilight had disappeared, and between the setting and rising of the sun hardly one hour elapsed. Haparanda is in 65 degrees 31 minutes North latitude and forty one miles south of the arctic circle. It is 1 degree 18 minutes farther north than Archangel, and in the same latitude as the most northern part of Iceland. The sun rises on the 21st of June at 12:01am and sets at 11:37pm. From the 22nd to the 25th of June the traveler may enjoy the sight of the midnight sun from Avasaxa, a hill six hundred and eighty feet high, and about forty-five miles distant."* -M. Paul B. du Chaillu, "The Land of the Midnight Sun"

If the Earth were actually a spinning globe revolving around the Sun, the only place such a phenomenon as the Midnight Sun could be observed would be at the poles. Any other vantage point from 89 degrees latitude downwards could never, regardless of any tilt or inclination, see the Sun for 24 hours straight. To see the Sun for an entire revolution on a spinning globe at a



point other than the poles, you would have to be looking through miles and miles of land and sea for part of the revolution! Anyone below the 89th parallel could never witness the Sun for 72 hours, 3 whole revolutions, straight because to do so would be to assume you are somehow seeing “through the globe” and to the Sun on the other side! Since such an assumption is ridiculous, and yet the Midnight Sun can clearly be seen as low as the 65 parallel, this is another absolute proof that Earth is the flat, stationary center of the universe.




*“If the earth be a globe, at midnight the eye would have to penetrate thousands of miles of land and water even at 65 degrees North latitude, in order to see the sun at midnight. That the sun can be seen for days together in the Far North during the Northern summer, proves that there is something*

*very seriously wrong with the globular hypothesis. Besides this how is it that the midnight sun is never seen in the south during the southern summer? Cook penetrated as far South as 71 degrees, Weddell in 1893 reached as far as 74 degrees, and Sir James C. Ross in 1841 and 1842 reached the 78th parallel, but I am not aware that any of these navigators have left it on record that the sun was seen at midnight in the south.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny” (63)*

Heliocentrists also cannot explain why the Midnight Sun phenomenon is not experienced anywhere in the Southern hemisphere at any time of year. Quite to the contrary, it has been recorded by the Royal Belgian Geographical Society in “Expedition Antarctique Belge,” that during the most severe part of the Antarctic winter, from 71 degrees South latitude onwards, the sun sets on May 17th and is not seen above the horizon again until July 21st! This is completely at odds with the ball-Earth theory, but easily explained by the flat-Earth model. The Midnight Sun is seen from high altitudes in extreme Northern latitudes during Arctic summer because the Sun, at its inner-most cycle, is circling tightly enough around the polar center that it remains visible above the horizon for someone at such a vantage point. Likewise, in extreme Southern latitudes during Arctic summer, the Sun completely disappears from view for over 2 months because there at the Northern Tropic, at the inner-most arc of its boomerang journey, the Sun is circling the Northern center too tightly to be seen from the Southern circumference.


*“It is evident that in the great encircling oceans of the south, and the numerous islands and parts of continents, which exist beyond that part of the earth where the sun is vertical, cannot have their days and nights, seasons, etc., precisely like those in the northern region. The north is a centre, and the south is that centre radiated or thrown out to a vast oceanic circumference, terminating in circular walls of ice, which form an impenetrable frozen barrier. Hence the phenomena referred to as existing in the north must be considerably modified in the south, For instance, the north being central, the light of the sun advancing and receding, gives long periods of alternate light and darkness at the actual centre; but in the far south, the sun, even when moving in his outer path, can only throw its light to a certain distance, beyond which there must be perpetual darkness. No evidence exists of there being long periods of light and darkness regularly alternating, as in the north. In the north, in summer-time, when the sun is moving in its inner path, the light shines continually for months together over the central region, and rapidly develops numerous forms of animal and vegetable life.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (115)*

In typical reverse-engineered damage-control fashion, trying to explain away the Midnight Sun, problematic Arctic/Antarctic phenomena, and the fact that Polaris can



be seen approximately 23.5 degrees South of the equator, desperate heliocentrists in the late 19th century again modified their theory to say the ball-Earth actually tilts back 23.5 degrees on its vertical axis, thus explaining away many problems in one swoop! If it simply tilted the same direction constantly, however, this would still not explain the phenomena because after 6 months of supposed orbital motion around the Sun, any amount of tilt would be perfectly opposite, thus negating their alleged explanation for Arctic/Antarctic irregularities. To account for this, heliocentrists added that the Earth also “wobbles,” in a complex combination of patterns known as, “planetary nutation,” the “Chandler wobble,” and “axial precession” which, in their vivid imaginations, somehow explains away common sense.






Common sense, however, says that if the heat of the Sun travels 93,000,000 miles to reach us, a small axial tilt and wobble, the difference of a few thousand miles, should be completely negligible. If

the ball-Earth actually spun around 93,000,000 miles from the Sun, regardless of any tilt or wobble, temperature and climate the whole world over should be almost completely uniform. If the heat of the Sun truly travelled ninety-three million miles to reach Earth's equator, the extra few thousand miles to the poles, regardless of any supposed "tilt" or "wobble," no matter how extreme, would surely be negligible in negating such intense heat!

*"The supposition that the seasons are caused by the Earth's annual motion round the Sun at a mean distance of 92,500,000 of miles, is grotesque. According to Piazzzi the size of the Sun is in proportion to the Earth, as 329,360 to 1, the diameter exceeds that of the Earth 112 times. The Earth appears, as Biot says, by this statement, 'a mere grain of sand, as compared to the Sun.' This enormous expanse of light focused on a rotating 'grain of sand,' at the distance of 93 millions of miles, would cause the same season throughout it. The paltry few miles, in comparison that separates London from Cape Town could never cause diverse seasons, neither would the distance from London to the Riviera justify the difference in the climate that characterizes the two places."* -E. Eschini, "Foundations of Many Generations" (7)

Common sense also says if the Earth were actually a ball spinning daily with uniform speed around the Sun, there should be exactly 12 hour days and 12 hour nights everywhere all year round! The great variety in length of



days and nights throughout the year all over Earth testifies to the fact that we do not live on a spinning ball-planet. There cannot exist phenomena such as this on a globe, nor the Midnight Sun, nor anything like Antarctic winter where the Sun is nowhere to be found for over 2 months per year!

*“The theory of the rotation of the earth may once and for all be definitely disposed of as impracticable by pointing out the following inadvertence. It is said that the rotation takes twenty-four hours and that its speed is uniform, in which case, necessarily, days and nights should have an identical duration of twelve hours each all the year round. The sun should invariably rise in the morning and set in the evening at the same hours, with the result that it would be the equinox every day from the 1st of January to the 31st of December. One should stop and reflect on this before saying that the earth has a movement of rotation. How does the system of gravitation account for the seasonal variations in the lengths of days and nights if the earth rotates at a uniform speed in twenty-four hours!?”* -Gabrielle Henriot, “Heaven and Earth” (10)



### **Polaris the North Pole Star**

NASA and modern astronomy say the Earth is a giant globe spinning 1,000 mph around its central axis, traveling 67,000 mph circles around the Sun, spiraling 500,000 mph around the Milky Way, while the entire galaxy rockets a ridiculous

670,000,000 mph through the Universe, with all of these motions originating from an alleged “Big Bang” cosmogenic explosion 14 billion years ago. That’s a grand total of 670,568,000 mph in several different directions we’re all supposedly speeding along at simultaneously. No one has ever seen, felt, heard, measured or proven such motion, yet the vast majority of people unquestioningly accept that the clearly motionless Earth beneath their feet is actually moving over six hundred million miles per hour!

NASA and modern astronomy say Polaris, the North Pole star, is somewhere between 323-434 light years, or about 2 quadrillion miles, away from us! Firstly, note that is between 1,938,000,000,000,000 - 2,604,000,000,000,000 miles making a difference of 666,000,000,000,000 (over six hundred trillion) miles! If

modern astronomy cannot even agree on the distance to stars within **hundreds of trillions** of miles, perhaps their “science” is flawed and their theory needs re-examining. However, even granting them their obscurely distant stars, heliocentric astronomers cannot adequately explain how Polaris manages to always remain almost perfectly aligned straight above the North Pole.

If the globe-Earth was really spinning West-East 1,000 mph, orbiting the Sun counter-clockwise at 67,000 mph, spiraling around the outer-arms of the Milky Way at 500,000 mph, while shooting through the Universe at 670,000,000 mph, how is it even conceptually possible that Polaris, 2



quadrillion miles away, day after day, year after year, always maintains its alignment straight above the North Pole!? That would mean from 2 quadrillion miles away, Polaris would have to be perfectly mirroring Earth's several simultaneous wobbling, spinning, spiraling, and shooting motions. Polaris would have to be shooting the same direction through the Universe at exactly 670,000,000 mph; it would have to be following the same 500,000 mph, 225 million year spiral around the Milky Way, and mirroring the same 67,000 mph, 365 day orbit around our Sun! Or, the Earth is stationary - as common sense and everyday experience testifies.




*“It is supposed in the regular course of the Newtonian theory that the Earth is, in June, about 190 millions of miles (190,000,000) away from its position in December. Now, since we can, (in middle north latitudes) see the North Star, on looking out of a window that faces it - and out of the very same corner of the very*

*same pane of glass in the very same window - all the year round, it is proof*

*enough for any man in his senses that we have made no motion at all.” -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (80)*

Not only this, but viewed from a ball-Earth, Polaris, situated almost straight over the North Pole, should not be visible anywhere in the Southern Hemisphere. For Polaris to be seen from the Southern Hemisphere of a globular Earth, the observer would have to be somehow looking “through the globe,” and miles of land and sea would have to be transparent. Polaris can be seen, however, up to approximately 23.5 degrees South latitude.

*“If the Earth is a sphere and the pole star hangs over the northern axis, it would be impossible to see it for a single degree beyond the equator, or 90 degrees from the pole. The line-of-sight would become a tangent to the sphere, and consequently several thousand miles out of and divergent from the direction of the pole star. Many cases, however, are on record of the north polar star being visible far beyond the equator, as far even as the tropic of Capricorn.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Earth Not a Globe, 2nd Edition” (41)*




*“The astronomers' theory of a globular Earth necessitates the conclusion that, if we travel south of the equator, to see the North Star is an impossibility. Yet it is well known this star has been seen by navigators when they have been more than 20 degrees south of the equator. This fact, like hundreds of other facts, puts the theory to shame, and gives us a proof that the Earth is not a globe.” -William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (71)*

To account for this glaring problem in their model, desperate heliocentrists since the late 19th century have claimed the ball-Earth actually tilts a convenient 23.5 degrees back on its vertical axis. Even this brilliant revision to their theory cannot account for the visibility of many other constellations though. For instance, Ursa Major, very close to Polaris, can be seen from 90 degrees North latitude (the North Pole) all the way down to 30 degrees South latitude. The constellation Vulpecula can be seen from 90 degrees North latitude, all the way to 55 degrees South latitude. Taurus, Pisces and Leo can be seen from 90 degrees North all the way to 65 degrees South. Aquarius and Libra can be seen from 65 degrees North to 90 degrees South! The constellation Virgo is visible from 80 degrees North down to 80 degrees South, and Orion can be seen from 85




degrees North all the way to 75 degrees South latitude! An observer on a ball-Earth, regardless of any tilt or inclination, should not logically be able to see this far.




*“Another thing is certain, that from within the equator the north pole star, and the constellations Ursa Major, Ursa Minor, and many others, can be seen from every meridian simultaneously; whereas in the south, from the equator, neither the so-called south pole star, nor the remarkable constellation of the Southern Cross, can be seen simultaneously from every meridian, showing that all the constellations of the south - pole star included - sweep over a great southern arc and across*

*the meridian, from their rise in the evening to their setting in the morning. But if the earth is a globe, Sigma Octantis, a south pole star, and the Southern Cross, a southern circumpolar constellation, they would all be visible at the same time from every longitude on the same latitude, as is the case with the northern pole star and the northern circumpolar constellations. Such, however, is not the case.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (286)*

Some heliocentrists have even tried to suggest that the Pole Star’s gradual declination




overhead as an observer travels southwards is proof of a globular Earth. Far from it, the declination of the Pole Star or any other object is simply a result of the Law of Perspective. The Law of Perspective dictates that the angle and height at which an object is seen diminishes the farther one recedes from the object, until at a certain point the line of sight and the seemingly uprising surface of the Earth converges to a vanishing point (i.e. the horizon line) beyond which the object is invisible.



*"If we select a flat street a mile long, containing a row of lamps, it will be noticed that from where we stand the lamps gradually*

*decline to the ground, the last one being apparently quite on the ground. Take the lamp at the end of the street and walk away from it a hundred yards, and it will appear to be much nearer the ground than when we were close to it; keep on walking away from it and it will appear to be gradually depressed until it is last seen on the ground and then disappears. Now, according to the astronomers, the whole mile was only depressed about eight inches from one end to the other, so that this 8 in. could not account for the enormous depression of the light as we recede from it. This proves that the depression of the Pole Star can and does take place in relation to a flat surface, simply because we increase our distance from it, the same as from the street lamp. In other words, the further away we get from any object above us, as a star for example, the more it is depressed, and if we go far enough it will sink (or appear to sink) to the horizon and then disappear. The writer has tried the street lamp many times with the same result."* -Thomas Winship, "Zetetic Cosmogony" (34)

*"It has often been urged that the earth must be a globe, because the stars in the southern 'hemisphere' move round a south polar star; in the same way that those of the north revolve round the northern pole star. This is another instance of the sacrifice of truth, and denial of the evidence of our senses for the purpose of supporting a theory which is in every sense false and unnatural. It is known to every observer that the north pole star is the centre of a number of constellations which move over the earth in a circular direction. Those nearest to it, as the 'Great Bear,' etc. are always visible in England during their whole twenty-four hours' revolution. Those further away southwards rise north-*



north-east, and set south-south-west; still further south they rise east by north, and set west by north. The farthest south visible from England, the rising is more to the east and south-east, and the setting to the west and south-west. But all the stars visible from London rise and set in a way which is not compatible with the doctrine of rotundity. For instance, if we stand with our backs to the north, on the high land known as 'Arthur's Seat,' near Edinburgh, and note the stars in the zenith of our position, and watch for several hours, the zenith stars will gradually recede to the north-west. If we do the same on Woodhouse Moor, near Leeds, or on any of the mountain tops in Yorkshire or Derbyshire, the same phenomenon is observed. The same thing may be seen from the top of Primrose Hill, near Regent's Park, London; from Hampstead Heath; or Shooter's Hill, near Woolwich. **If we remain all night, we shall observe the same stars rising towards our position from the north-east, showing that the path of all the stars between ourselves and the northern centre move round the north pole-star as a common centre of rotation; just as they must do over a plane such as the earth is proved to be. It is undeniable that upon a globe zenith stars would rise, pass over head, and set in the plane of the observer's position. If now we carefully watch in the same way the zenith stars from the Rock of Gibraltar, the very same phenomenon is observed. The same is also the case from Cape of Good Hope, Sydney and Melbourne in Australia, in New Zealand, in Rio Janeiro, Monte Video, Valparaiso, and other places in the south. If then the zenith stars of all the places on the earth, where special observations have been made, rise from the morning horizon to the zenith of an observer, and descend to the evening horizon, not in a plane of the position of such observer, but in an arc of a circle concentric with the northern centre, the earth is thereby proved to be a plane, and rotundity altogether disproved - shown, indeed, to be impossible."** - Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (284-6)



### **The Moon is Self-Luminescent and Semi-Transparent**

NASA and modern astronomy maintain that the Moon is a solid, spherical, Earth-like habitation which man has actually flown to and set foot on. They claim the Moon is a non-luminescent planetoid which receives and reflects all its light from the Sun.

The reality is, however, that the Moon is not a solid body, it is clearly circular, but not spherical, and not in any way an Earth-like planetoid which humans could set foot on. In fact, the Moon is largely transparent and completely self-luminescent, shining with its own unique light.

The Sun's light is golden, warm, drying, preservative and antiseptic, while the Moon's light is silver, cool, damp, putrefying and septic. The Sun's rays decrease the combustion of a bonfire, while the Moon's rays increase combustion. Plant and animal substances



exposed to sunlight quickly dry, shrink, coagulate, and lose the tendency to decompose and putrify; grapes and other fruits become solid, partially candied and preserved like raisins, dates, and prunes; animal flesh coagulates, loses its volatile gaseous constituents, becomes firm, dry, and slow to decay. When exposed to moonlight, however, plant and animal substances tend to show symptoms of putrefaction and decay.



In direct sunlight a thermometer will read higher than another thermometer placed in the shade, but in full, direct moonlight a thermometer will read lower than another placed in the shade. If the Sun's light is collected in a large lens and thrown to a focus point it can create significant heat, while the Moon's light collected similarly creates no heat. In the "Lancet Medical Journal," from March 14th,

1856, particulars are given of several experiments which proved the Moon's rays when concentrated can actually reduce the temperature upon a thermometer more than eight degrees.

*"The sun's light, when concentrated by a number of plane or concave mirrors throwing the light to the same point; or by a large burning lens, produces a black or non-luminous focus, in which the heat is so intense that metallic and*



*alkaline substances are quickly fused; earthy and mineral compounds almost immediately vitrified; and all animal and vegetable structures in a few seconds decomposed, burned up and destroyed. The moon's light concentrated in the above manner produces a focus so brilliant and luminous that it is difficult to look upon it; yet there is no increase of temperature. **In the focus of sun-light there is great heat but no light. In that of the moon's light there is great light but no heat.***” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (144)

*“Light which is reflected must necessarily be of the same character as that which causes the reflection, but the light of the Moon is altogether different from the light of the Sun, therefore the light of the Moon is not reflected from the Sun. The Sun's light is red and hot, the Moon's pale and cold - the Sun's dries and preserves certain kinds of fish and fruit, such as cod and grapes, for the table, but the Moon's turns such to putrefaction - the Sun's will often put out a coal fire, while the Moon's will cause it to bum more brightly - the rays of the Sun, focused through a burning-glass, will set wood on fire, and even fuse metals, while the rays of the Moon, concentrated to the strongest power, do not exhibit the very slightest signs of heat. I have myself long thought that the light of the Moon is Electric, but, be that as it may, even a Board School child can perceive that its light is totally unlike that of the Sun.”* -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (151-2)



So sunlight and moonlight clearly have altogether different properties, and furthermore the Moon itself cannot physically be both a spherical body and a reflector of the Sun's light! Reflectors must be flat or concave for light rays to have any angle of incidence; If a reflector's surface is convex then every ray of light points in a direct line with the radius perpendicular to the surface resulting in no reflection.

*“Again, if the Moon is a sphere, which it is declared to be, how can its surface reflect the light of the Sun? If her surface was a mass of polished silver, it could not reflect from more than a mere point! Let a silvered glass ball or globe of considerable size be held before a lamp or fire of any magnitude, and it will be seen that instead of the whole surface reflecting light, there will be a very small*


*portion only illuminated. But the Moon's whole surface is brilliantly illuminated! A condition or effect utterly impossible if it be spherical.*" -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Earth Not a Globe, 2nd Edition" (97)




The Bible also confirms that the Moon is self-luminous and not a mere reflector of sunlight in Genesis 1:16 where it states that "*God made two great luminaries, the greater luminary to rule the day, and the lesser luminary to rule the night.*"

Not only is the Moon clearly self-luminous, shining its own unique light, but it is also largely transparent! NASA photoshoppers claim the Moon is a dark spherical planetoid as shown on the left, yet with our own eyes or through a telescope we can see it is actually the bright, circular, semi-transparent luminary shown on the right. On a clear night, during a waxing or waning cycle, it is even possible to occasionally see stars and planets directly through the surface of the Moon!

On March 7th, 1794, four astronomers (3 in Norwich, 1 in London) wrote in "The Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Astronomical Society" that they "**saw a star in the dark part of the moon**, which had not then attained the first quadrature; and from the representations which are given the star must have appeared very far advanced upon the disc." Sir James South of the Royal Observatory in Kensington wrote in a letter to the Times newspaper April 7, 1848, that, "*On the 15th of March, 1848, when the moon was seven and a half days old, I never saw her unilluminated disc so beautifully. On my first looking into the telescope a star of about the 7th magnitude was some minutes of a degree distant from the moon's dark limb. I saw that its occultation by the moon was inevitable ... The star, instead of disappearing the moment the moon's edge came in contact with it, apparently glided on the moon's dark face, as if it had been seen through a transparent moon; or, as if a star were between me and the moon ... I have seen a similar apparent projection several times ... The cause of this phenomenon is involved in impenetrable mystery.*" In the monthly notices of the Royal Astronomical



Society for June 8, 1860, Thomas Gaunt stated that the "*Occultation of Jupiter by the moon, on the 24th of May, 1860, was seen with an achromatic of 3.3 inches aperture, 50 inches focus; the immersion with a power of 50, and the emersion with a power of 70. At the immersion I could not see the dark limb of the moon until the planet appeared to touch it, and then only to the extent of the diameter of the planet; but what I was most struck with was the appearance on the moon as it passed over the planet. **It appeared as though the planet was a dark object, and glided on to the moon instead of behind it; and the appearance continued until the planet was hid, when I suddenly lost the dark limb of the moon altogether.***" I have personally also seen stars through the edge of the waxing/waning Moon. It actually happens fairly often; if you are diligent and specifically observing for the phenomenon on starry nights you can occasionally see it even with the naked eye.



*"During a partial solar eclipse the sun's outline has many times been seen through the body of the moon. But those who have been taught to believe that the moon is a solid opaque sphere, are ever ready with 'explanations,' often of the most inconsistent character, rather than acknowledge the simple fact of semi-transparency. Not only has this been proved by the visibility of the sun's outline through segments, and sometimes the very*

*centre of the moon, but often, at new moon, the outline of the whole, and even the several shades of light on the opposite and illuminated part have been distinctly seen. **In other words we are often able to see through the dark side of the moon's body to light on the other side.***" -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (337)

*"That the moon is not a perfectly opaque body, but a crystallized substance, is shown from the fact that when a few hours old or even at quarter we can through the unilluminated portion see the light shining on the other side. **Stars have also been observed through her surface!**"* -J. Atkinson, "Earth Review Magazine"



A Star occulting a crescent Moon has long been a popular symbol of Islam, was the symbol of the Ottoman Empire, it is found on the flags of Algeria, Azerbaijan, Libya, Malaysia, Mauritania, Pakistan, Singapore, Tunisia, Turkey,













































































































































































































































































































*impressed with the potential of radiometric for coming up with absolutely reliable ages of some kinds of rocks. They do not feel that way anymore. Having had to deal with numerous calculated dates which are too young or too old compared with what they expected, scientists now admit that the process has many more uncertainties than they ever would have supposed in the early years. The public knows almost nothing about uncertainties in the dating of rocks. The impression that most people have received is that many rocks on earth are extremely old and that the technology exists to make accurate measurements of the ages. Scientists have become more and more aware however that the measurements which the machines make, may tell us nothing about the actual age of the rock."*



One of the main reasons that evolutionists “needed” the existence of dinosaurs was to answer the complicated problems present in the theory of evolution including: sea-dwelling animals evolving into land-dwellers; reptiles evolving wings, feathers, flying and becoming birds; as well as other reptiles evolving warm-blood, live births, breasts and becoming mammals. Through their imaginary multi-million-year timeline and a variety of supposed transitional dinosaur forms, the paleontological establishment has

been promoting various sea-dinosaur, reptile/birds and reptile/mammals to bridge these gaps. Many professionals and experts in the field have disputed such findings as often as they have been presented, however. Dr. Storrs Olson, a Smithsonian Institute Scientist, wrote, *“The idea of feathered dinosaurs and the theropod origin of birds is being actively promulgated by a cadre of zealous scientists acting in concert with certain editors at Nature and National Geographic who themselves have become outspoken and highly biased proselytizers of the faith. Truth and careful scientific weighing of evidence have been among the first casualties in their program, which is now fast becoming one of the grander scientific hoaxes of our age.”*

No authentic feathers have ever been found with dinosaur fossils, though a few exposed hoaxes certainly attempted to fake it. Dr. Olson called the adding of feathers to their findings *“hype, wishful thinking, propaganda, nonsense fantasia, and a hoax.”* In the 1990s many fossils with feathers were supposedly discovered in China (suspiciously close to the Zigong Dino Ocean Art

Company), but when examined Dr. Timothy Rowe found the so-called “Confuciusornis” was an elaborate hoax. He also found the “Archeoraptor” supposedly discovered in the 90s was composed of bones from 5 different animals! When Dr. Rowe presented his findings to National Geographic the head scientist reportedly remarked “*well all of these have been fiddled with!*” National Geographic then proceeded with their news conferences and media stories about the Archeoraptor fossils being genuine and having found the missing link in evolution.

*“In 1999, National Geographic magazine was busted when they presented, in a colorful and fancily presented article, the missing link. An Archeoraptor dinosaur, which was supposed to support the basic tenet of evolutionary theory, that dinosaurs had slowly developed over millions of years. Their proof consisted of a fossil, where carefully arranged bone imprints gave the impression of a creature half dinosaur and half bird. The scam was discovered during a CT scan which uncovered unnatural bone links. National Geographic magazine was later forced to admit, when pressured, that the fossil was man-made!”* -Robbin Koefoed, “The Dinosaurs Never Existed”



**I DON'T EXIST**

Paleontologists claim that “Archaeopteryx” is another transitional form of bird evolved from dinosaurs, but this theory falls on its face against overwhelming evidence to the contrary. Other species like Confuciusornis, Liaoningornis, and Eoalulavis have all been found to be contemporary with the Archaeopteryx and are indistinguishable from present-day birds. Alan Feduccia from University of North Carolina, one of the most famous ornithologists in the world stated, “*I’ve studied bird skulls for 25 years and I don’t see any similarities whatsoever. I just don’t see it. The theropod origins of birds, in my opinion, will be the greatest embarrassment of paleontology of the 20th century.*” Larry Martin from the University of Kansas, a paleo-ornithologist says, “*to tell you the truth, if I had to support the dinosaur*



*origin of birds with those characters, I'd be embarrassed every time I had to get up and talk about it."*

Even if dinosaurs did evolve into birds to fill their evolution gap, it does not explain how something like the common housefly could have evolved. Flies flap their wings simultaneously 500 times per second, even the slightest dissonance in vibration would cause them to lose balance and fall, but this never happens. How could they "evolve" such an amazing and specialized ability? Why were dinosaurs never discovered before the evolutionist renaissance in the mid-19th century? Why do paleontologists think they can reconstruct an entire species of ancient animal from a few teeth? Why have so many dinosaur "discoveries" turned out to be hoaxes? Why are all "authentic dinosaur fossils" kept under tight lock and key away from any independent analysis? Why has erosion and weathering not destroyed all these supposed prints and fossils that are allegedly millions of years old? If dinosaurs were supposedly wiped out by a meteor impact or other such global catastrophe, why is it that all the other various animal species that exist today were not similarly wiped out? There are many more questions which need to be answered before anyone in their right-mind should consider the existence of dinosaurs anything but a convenient evolutionist myth.



*"The paleontological establishment can control which hypotheses will be constructed through textbooks and the curriculum. In this way, students are brainwashed into a pseudo-reality controlled by the text material and the teacher's authority. A short practical example; a random dental bone is found at an excavation site and from this dental*

*bone, the rest of the skeleton is guessed at. We are not kidding about this. The entire dinosaurian field of the paleontological program is a sham."* -Robbin Koefoed, "The Dinosaurs Never Existed"

*"During the nineteenth century a new world view of evolution was being pursued by then influential people such as Darwin and Marx. During this era of thought*

*the first dinosaur discoveries were made. Were these discoveries 'made' to try to make up for inadequacies in the fossil record for the theory of evolution? The following issues raise red flags as to the integrity of the dinosaur industry and cast doubts as to whether dinosaurs ever existed: (1) dinosaur discoveries having occurred only within the last two centuries and in huge unusual concentrated quantities going against the laws of nature and probability; (2) dinosaur discoverers typically and generally not being disinterested parties without a vested interest; (3) the nature of public display preparation, calling into question the integrity and source of fossils, and allowing for the possibility of tampering and bone substitution, and the possibility of fraudulent activities on a systemic basis; (4) existing artistic drawings and public exhibits showing off-balance and awkward postures that basic physics would rule out as being possible; (5) very low odds of all these dinosaur bones being fossilized but relatively few bones of other animals; (6) implications of dinosaur discoveries to the theory of evolution and the belief that man was created in God's image, suggesting possible hidden and subtle political or religious agendas served on a naive and unsuspecting public; and, (7) a lack of funding for organizations and people questioning or being skeptical of each and every discovery and public display. The possibility exists that living dinosaurs never existed. The dinosaur industry should be investigated and questions need to be asked. I am unaware of any evidence or reason for absolutely believing dinosaurs ever were alive on earth. The possibility exists that the concept of prehistoric living dinosaurs has been a fabrication of nineteenth and twentieth century people possibly pursuing an evolutionary and anti-Bible, anti-Christian agenda. Questioning what is being told instead is a better choice rather than blindly believing the dinosaur story. 'O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, and oppositions of **science falsely so called**.' (1 Timothy 6:20). The choice between believing the word of man, the evolutionists, or the word of God, the Bible, is a matter of faith."*

-David Wozney, "Dinosaurs: Science or Science Fiction"

### **Giant Human Beings Existed!**

The Titans, Cyclops, Paul Bunyan, Pecos Bill, the Jolly Green Giant, or Jack's Beanstock Giant: all these characters bring to mind fictional "mythological" imaginings, but what about in actual literal Earth history? Many people assume these so-



called “tall-tales” have no basis in fact, but is that true? From the Bible to Greek mythology, Native American legends to conquistador diaries, nursery rhymes to newspapers, from Josephus and Homer to modern day historical accounts and archeological finds, evidence of giant human beings living on Earth is abundant.



In the Bible, Genesis 6:4 states that *“There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown.”* In Numbers 13:25 the “Nephilim” giants are described as making men seem like mere grasshoppers in their presence. Deuteronomy 3:11-13 states that Og, King of Bashan had a bed made of iron fourteen feet long and six feet wide, and that all of Bashan, Gilead and Argob were called “the Land of Giants.” 1 Samuel 17:4 recalls Goliath of Gath who was over 9 feet tall. 2 Samuel 21:20 describes Gath, a city of the

Philistines also known as “the City of Giants” where lived huge men with 6 fingers and toes on each limb. 1 Chronicles 20:5-7 describes a war with the Philistines where Elhanan, the son of Jair slew Lahmi, the brother of Goliath, *“whose spear staff was like a weaver’s beam. And yet again there was war at Gath, where was a man of great stature, whose fingers and toes were four and twenty, six on each hand, and six on each foot and he also was the son of the giant.”*

*“‘Giants’ keep on cropping up in all parts of the globe: in the mythology of East and West, in the sagas of Tiahuanaco and the epics of the Eskimos. ‘Giants’ haunt the pages of almost all ancient books. So they must have existed. What sort of creatures were they? Were they our forefathers, who built the gigantic buildings and effortlessly manhandled the monoliths? One thing is certain. The Bible speaks of ‘giants’ and describes them as ‘sons of God,’ and these ‘sons of God’ breed with the daughters of men and multiply.”* -Erich Von Daniken, “Chariots of the Gods” (27)



The Ahiman, the Amorites, Anab’s giants destroyed by Joshua’s legions, the

Anakim, Argob's 60 cities of giants, Arioch the giant king, Ashdod "city of giants," the Awim, Bashan's giants, King Birsha, Elhanan, the Emim, The Gibeonites, Goliath, Ishbi-Benob, Jericho's giants, Lahmi, Og giant king of Bashan, the Perizzites, Rapha, the Rephaim, Sheshai, Sihon giant king of the Amorites, Sippai, Sodom and Gomorrah's giants, Talmai, and the Zamzummin are all the various races, places and figures associated with giants in the Bible.



Many of these have been confirmed outside of the Bible as well. For instance, the town of Anab's giants still exists today, called Khirbet Anab, 13 miles southwest of Hebron. The Execration

Texts of Twelfth Dynasty Egypt (1900 B.C.) now on display at the Berlin Museum, mention by name the Anakim giants and Ashdod, the "city of the giants." One of the most incredible accounts of ancient giants was by Hellenistic geographer Eumachus who told of two separate 36-foot human skeletons which were allegedly uncovered by Carthaginians somewhere around 300 B.C.!

The historian Josephus (37-95 A.D.), who lived in Hebron (home of Biblical giants), wrote that he had on multiple occasions dug up human bones of enormous size. He also wrote about the people of Judah facing the giants of Hebron, saying *"There were till then left a race of giants, who had bodies so large, and countenances so entirely different from other men, that they were surprising to the sight, and terrible to the hearing. The bones of these men are still shown to this very day, unlike to any credible relations of other men."* Josephus also wrote of Eleazar, a Jewish giant that stood over ten feet tall being one of the hostages the King of Persia sent to Rome to insure peace. Roman Emperor Aulus Vitellius also mentioned this writing that, *"Darius, son of Artabanus, was sent as a hostage to Rome, he took with him, with diverse presents, a man 7 cubits high, a Jew named Eleazar, who was called a giant by reason of his greatness."*







Roman author Pliny the Elder wrote that during the reign of Claudius (41-54 A.D.) a nine-foot nine-inch giant named Gabbaras was brought from Arabia to Rome and placed head of the Adiutrix legions. The area today called “Baqa” near the valley of Hinnom was long

known as “Valley of the Raphaim” or “Valley of the Giants.” The Ras Shamra texts, discovered in 1928 in Syria, are historical documents mentioning the economy, history, and religion of Ras Shamra (ancient Ugarit) as well as the giant Rephaim which then inhabited the area. In 135 A.D. soon after the Bar Kochba war, Buber’s Tanhuma describes Roman Emperor Hadrian’s encounter with Rabbi Johanan ben Zakkai’s in which he was shown the skeletons of fallen biblical “Amorites” measuring 18 cubits, or 30 feet tall! According to Jacques de Voragine, Saint Christopher, the Christian martyr *“was of gigantic stature, had a terrifying mien, and was twelve coudees tall,”* a coudee being slightly longer than a modern foot.

In an old book called “History and Antiquities of Allerdale” there is a report of a giant found by one “Hugh Hodson of Thorneway,” in Cumberland England during the middle ages. The report states that, *“the said gyant was buried four yards deep in the ground, which is now a corn field. He was four yards and a half*



*long, and was in complete armor; his sword and battle-axe lying by him. His teeth were six inches long and two inches broad.”*



The Cocopa Indian tribe have an oral history describing giants of the past able to carry logs so heavy that 6 humans failed to budge! Considering that humans can carry on average twice their body weight and the average human is 150 pounds, this means the logs would have weighed over 1,800 pounds. In H.T. Wilkins book “Mysteries of Ancient South America,” he retold old Peruvian tales of a time

during their past when a race of giants came from the Pacific Ocean on ships and invaded the lowlands of Peru, forcing the Inca to retreat high into their mountain strongholds in the Andes. The Incas said that some of the giants were so huge that *“from the knee down, they were as tall as a tall man!”* The Inca legends also closely parallel the biblical account of Sodom and Gomorrah, saying that *“these giants brought no women with them, and because they were too big for the Inca women, they became homosexual, and one day while they were publicly polluting the marketplace with these practices, a fire from heaven rained down on them and consumed them!”*

*“Growing up in Nevada I had heard stories of the Sitecah from the Paiute Indians that lived in the area. They told of red-haired men and women of light colored skin as tall as 12 feet who originally lived in the area when the Paiutes had first arrived. Evidently these human giants liked to eat the Indians so they had problems making friends. The Indian tribes of the area finally joined and ambushed the giants killing most of them on the spot. The remaining giants took refuge in a cave. The Indians demanded they come out and fight but the giants refused. So the Indians piled brush into the cave and set it on fire. Any giants that did run out were shot with arrows, the remaining giants were asphyxiated.”* -Garry Nelson, “Human Giants”



Many early explorers including Vespucci, Drake, Coronada, De Soto and Narvaez all mention encountering giant human beings in their journeys. The first Europeans to sail along the Patagonian coast were Ferdinand Magellan and his crew in 1520. Their first meeting with the Tehuelches was recorded by Antonio Pigafetta: *“One day, when no one was expecting it, we saw a giant, completely naked, by the sea. He danced and jumped and singing, spread sand and dust over his head. He was truly well built ... The captain named these kind of people Pataghoni. They have no houses*

*but huts, like the Egyptians. They live on raw meat and eat a kind of sweet root which they call capac. The two giants we had on board ship ate their way through a large basket of biscuits, and ate rats without skinning them. They drank a half bucket of water at once.”*

When Hernando De Soto reached the territory of the Apalachee around Tallahassee, he recorded meeting a giant Indian chief whom he described as “a man of monstrous proportions.” At the same time as De Soto, across the continent near present day California/Arizona, Francisco Coronado was leading a team to search for the legendary beautiful “Seven Cities of Cibola,” and ran into several tribes of giants. Pedro de Castaneda, one of Coronado's team members later wrote a complete history of the expedition mentioning their meetings with giant Indians. In one such passage he wrote of their encounter with the Seri Indian tribe: *“Don Rodrigo Maldonado, who was captain of those who went in search of the ships, did not find them, but he brought back with him an Indian so large and tall that the best man in the army reached only to his chest. It was said that other Indians were even taller on the coast.”*

In around 1542, within months of De Soto and Coronado's expeditions, 5 year old Fray Diego Duran moved with his Christian missionary family to central Mexico and spent most of his life there. During his travels, he recorded several times coming in contact with giant Indians: *"It cannot be denied that there have been giants in this country. I can affirm this as an eyewitness, for I have met men of monstrous stature here. I believe that there are many in Mexico who will remember, as I do, a giant Indian who appeared in a procession of the feast of Corpus Christi. He appeared dressed in yellow silk and a halberd at his shoulder and a helmet on his head. And he was all of three feet taller than the others."*



In his book "History of the Indies," Joseph de Acosta also tells a tale similar to Duran's: *"When I was in Mexico, in the year of our Lord one thousand five hundred eighty six, they found one of those giants buried in one of our farms, which we call Jesus del Monte, of whom they brought a tooth to be seen, which (without augmenting) was as big as the fist of a man; and, according to this, all*



*the rest was proportionable, which I saw and admired at his deformed greatness."*

Halfway around the world, in 1575 when the Tartars invaded Poland, Jacobus Niezabילו-vius defeated a soldier of gigantic size who fought within their ranks. After the battle, The Polish army recorded that: *"his body was of so prodigious a bulk that ... his carcass reached to the navel of any ordinary person standing by the side of it."*

#### SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS


### Beach Giant's Skull Unearthed By WPA Workers Near Victoria

**Believed to Be Largest Ever Found in World; Normal Head Also Found**

That Texas "had a giant on the beach" in the long ago appears probable from the large skull recently unearthed in a mound in Victoria County, believed to be the largest human skull ever found in the United States and possibly in the world.

Twice the size of the skull of a case of giantism. Several large normal man, the fragments were dug up by W. Durfen, archaeologist, who is excavating the mound in Victoria County under a WPA project sponsored by the University of Texas. In the same mound and at the same level, a normal sized skull was found. The pieces taken from the mound were reconstructed in the WPA laboratory under supervision of physical anthropologist.

Findings made through excavations in Texas are beginning to give weight to the theory that



**GIANT SKULL**—Believed to be possibly the largest found in the world, the human skull shown on the right was recently unearthed in Victoria County by Texas University anthropologists. The other two are of normal size.

Throughout history and all over the world hundreds of giant skeletons have been discovered, unearthed, recorded, and in recent times photographed and

preserved for posterity. Thanks to suppression and censorship by the Smithsonian and other establishment organizations, however, most people are completely unaware of these amazing discoveries.

*"Think about this - There had been Nephilim living all over the world, according to the Bible, but despite that fact the Smithsonian Institute, National Geographic, the Discovery Channel and any of the 'officially sanctioned' archaeological expedition teams, between the whole lot of them, have supposedly not been able to cough up one single solitary bone fragment, fossil, or artifact that would evidence these Nephilim. Has the (elite-controlled) academic mainstream covered something up? Here then are a number of these reports about discoveries of the remains of human or humanoid giants. Keep in mind, numerous times these findings were turned over to 'government authorities,' particularly to the Smithsonian, by conscientious and well-meaning citizens, only to have these artifacts then disappear permanently." -Matt TwoFour, "Wolves in Sheep's Clothing"*

### Trace of Giants Found in Desert

**LOS ANGELES, Aug. 4 (AP)—**A retired Ohio doctor has discovered relics of an ancient civilization, whose men were 8 or 9 feet tall, in the Colorado desert near the Arizona-Nevada-California line, an associate said today.






In 1456 a 23 foot tall human skeleton was reported and removed from beside a river in Valence, France. In 1577 a 19 and a half foot giant skeleton was found under an overturned oak tree in the Canton of Lucerne. In 1613 a giant skeleton was found near Chaumont castle in France and reported to be 25 feet, 6 inches tall! In 1829 the Burlington News reported on workers digging into a mound being used to furnish building material for a new hotel in Chesterville, Ohio when they dug up a giant human skeleton. In 1833 soldiers digging at Lompock Rancho, California discovered a giant male skeleton 12 feet tall with double rows of teeth surrounded by giant weapons. In July 1877 four prospectors in Eureka, Nevada found and chipped out several human leg and foot bones encased in solid quartzite. One of the leg bones from heel to knee was 39 inches long, twice the size of a modern day adult human.

In 1879 the Indianapolis News reported that a 9 foot, 8 inch skeleton was excavated from a mound near Brewersville, Indiana. In 1891 workmen excavating near Crittenden, Arizona discovered a huge stone sarcophagus eight feet below the surface which contained the mummified body of a 12 foot tall giant with 6 digits on each limb. The Chicago Record reported on October 24, 1895 that a mound near Toledo, Ohio was found to hold 20 skeletons, seated and facing east with jaws and teeth twice as large as those of present day people, and beside each sat large bowls with curiously wrought hieroglyphic figures. In December 1895, a 12 foot 2 inch fossilized Irish giant was found by a Mr. Dyer while prospecting for iron ore in Antrim County, Ireland. Strand magazine featured a picture of the giant at a London rail depot. It weighed 2 tons, had 6-digit limbs, and was exhibited in Dublin, Liverpool and Manchester for sixpence a person “attracting scientific men as well as gaping sightseers.” After a legal dispute regarding ownership, however, the exhibit disappeared from public display forever.





18 giant human skeletons were found in mounds next to Lake Delavan, Wisconsin in May of 1912. Beloit College professors and students excavated the site uncovering giants from 7.6 feet up to 10 feet tall with double rows of teeth and 24 digits. The Washington Post on June 22, 1925 and the New York Herald-Tribune, June 21, 1925 reported that *“a mining party found skeletons measuring 10 to 12 feet with feet 18 to 20 inches long, near Sisoquiche, Mexico.”* The Los Angeles Times on October 2, 1927 reported *“explorers in Mexico located large human bones near Tapextla, indicating a race of gigantic size.”* The “Review-Minter” of June 19, 1931 reported on two giant skeletons found in Lovelock Cave, Nevada. Both were wrapped in a gum treated fabric, one 8 and a half feet tall, the other just under 10 feet tall. A giant skull and jawbone from this discovery are still on display at the Humboldt Museum in Nevada. In


the late 1950s, during road construction in south-east Turkey many tombs containing the remains of giants upwards of 16 feet tall were uncovered. Leg bones were measured to be about 120 cms or 47.24 inches.

In 2004 an anonymous ARAMCO Saudi Oil industry technician found and uncovered a 15 foot tall complete giant human skeleton while prospecting. Police quickly came and seized all cameras, the Saudi military swiftly took control of the site, and nothing was ever publicly released about it since. The technician who uncovered it managed to take and circulate only one photo of the giant, and he reported to Richard Paley that he has since become Christian.



*“What I found to be ominously indicative and yet also a backhanded confirmation of the authenticity of this discovery is the flurry of photoshopped ‘Nephilim remains’ that popped up on the internet shortly afterwards. In an*

*apparent attempt to muddy the waters and create a 'discrediting' factor. To this day if you google for 'giant skeleton' you see an abundance of these faked, photoshopped 'giants.' ... Just in the short time I spent recently researching for this chapter, I ran into at least five or six reports regarding the Smithsonian Institute receiving extraordinary artifacts and evidence from dutiful citizens who thought they were handing over their findings to the highest and most reliable authorities, only to realize later that Smithsonian had made it all 'disappear.' Hollywood has even made a veiled (snickering?) reference to this bizarre trend: Recall the famous Smithsonian warehouse scene at the end of the first Indiana Jones movie.” -Matt TwoFour, “Wolves in Sheep’s Clothing”*



Note how these many giant discoveries are made by a wide range of people with a variety of jobs and interests, unlike supposed “dinosaur” discoveries which are always made by highly invested-parties. Also note how the Smithsonian has entire museums filled with fake dinosaur skeletons, yet has never displayed a single giant human skeleton; even though they are in possession of several of them, they maintain that giants never existed and have never been discovered!

*“To those who investigate*

*allegations of archaeological cover-ups, there are disturbing indications that the most important archaeological institute in the United States, the Smithsonian Institute, an independent federal agency, has been actively suppressing some of the most interesting and important archaeological discoveries made in the Americas. The Vatican has been long accused of keeping artifacts and ancient books in their vast cellars, without allowing the outside world to access them. Sadly, there is overwhelming evidence that something very similar is happening with the Smithsonian Institution.” -David Hatcher Childress, “Archeological Coverups?”*







Ivan T. Sanderson, a famous zoologist and frequent Johnny Carson guest told the story of an army engineer stationed on the Aleutian islands of Shemya during WWII who sent him a fascinating letter. The man claimed to have uncovered a giant graveyard when building an airstrip bulldozing through sedimentary rock in 1944. He found skulls which measured from 22 to 24

inches from base to crown, nearly three times the size of a typical adult human skull. He entrusted them to the Smithsonian Institute where they were never to be seen again! He wrote to Sanderson, *“Is it that these people cannot face rewriting all the textbooks?”*

When Spiro Mound in Oklahoma was excavated in the 1930s, an eight foot tall giant in full armor was discovered along with a treasure of pearls and other jewels. The Smithsonian Institute was directly involved in the cover-up of this and many other ancient mounds of the Midwest which were dug up. Archaeologist / Researcher David Hatcher Childress reported on one Smithsonian employee who lost

his job for exposing evidence that the Smithsonian had at least once taken a barge full of unusual artifacts out and dumped them in the middle of the Atlantic Ocean!



*“Perhaps the most amazing suppression of all is the excavation of an Egyptian tomb by the Smithsonian itself in Arizona. A lengthy front page story of the Pheonix Gazette on April 5, 1909, gave a highly detailed report of the discovery and excavation of a rock-cut vault by an expedition led by a Professor S.A. Jordan of the Smithsonian.*

*The Smithsonian, however, claims to have absolutely no knowledge of the discovery or its discoverers ... The area around Ninety-four Mile Creek and Trinity Creek had areas with names like Tower of Set, Tower of Ra, Horus Temple, Osiris Temple, and Isis Temple. In the Haunted Canyon area were such names as the Cheops Pyramid, the Buddha Cloister, Buddha Temple, Manu Temple and Shiva Temple. Was there any relationship between these places and the alleged Egyptian discoveries in the Grand Canyon? We called a state archeologist at the Grand Canyon, and were told that the early explorers had just liked Egyptian and Hindu names, but that it was true that this area was off limits to hikers or other visitors, 'because of dangerous caves.' Indeed, this entire area with Egyptian and Hindu place names in the Grand Canyon is a forbidden zone - no one is allowed into this large area. We could only conclude that this was the area where the vaults were located ... I believe that the discerning reader will see that if only a small part of the 'Smithsoniangate' evidence is true, then our most hallowed archaeological institution has been actively involved in suppressing evidence for advanced American cultures, evidence for ancient voyages of various cultures to North America, evidence for anomalistic giants and other oddball artifacts, and evidence that tends to disprove the official dogma that is now the history of North America.” -David Hatcher Childress, “Archeological Coverups?”*

*“Recently I took a trip to Peru and guess what jumped out at me in some of the museums I visited there? Yes, it was giants. In Peru they are not whisked away like they are in the USA. (It should be noted that there have been hundreds of giant discoveries in the USA that have been either ignored or taken into custody by the Smithsonian never to be seen again.) The Incas actually had kings that were giants and had red and blond hair. In the Gold Museum in Lima you can still see the clothing and head of an Incan King who stood an easy 10 feet tall. His dried and mummified head is easily twice the size of mine and I’m not a little person.” -Garry Nelson, “Human Giants”*







The fact that we would measure time and length in units of 60 seconds, 60 minutes, 24 hours, 12 months and 12 inches always seems arbitrary and inconvenient when counting on hands and feet of 5 digits each, but if counting on 6 fingers and toes then suddenly many of our standard measurement systems make more sense. In Thailand,

giant statues are displayed prominently outside temples and painted in historical legends on the walls. The Thai word for “inch” actually means “finger” of which on giant-hands there are 12 in a “foot!” Also, interestingly enough, the Bible, which mentions giants having 6 fingers/toes, claims them to be the offspring of fallen angels (demons) mating with human women, and that Satan’s number is 666.

*“From the biblical perspective isn’t it a rather simple matter to figure out what is going on here? Lucifer is all about ‘deception’ and he can ill afford to have all this confirmation of the veracity of ancient Bible stories. The difficulty factor in arguing against the Bible’s*



*credibility would be ratcheted sky-high if there were museums full of Nephilim fossils and artifacts all around the world. We wouldn’t expect the Devil - the Great Deceiver - to want us to know about any giant human fossils. Just like he has managed to keep the other great Bible discoveries from the world, we would expect the same with this. No, the devil has gotten everyone to believe in evolution (the great delusion). One of the things I hope we have all realized from this is the relative ease with which the ‘powers that be’ can successfully*

*keep major facts from the general public.” -Matt TwoFour, “Wolves in Sheep’s Clothing”*



## The Flat Earth Bible

The Bible, a book said to be inspired and written by God, claims that the Earth is flat, motionless and intelligently designed, that giant human beings existed and that “dinosaurs” and “ape-men” never existed, several increasingly unpopular ideas which I hope the book you are reading, *The Flat Earth Conspiracy*, has proven to be undeniably true. In my previous book, *The Atlantean Conspiracy*, I also presented overwhelming evidence that there existed ancient advanced worldwide civilizations which were wiped out by a global flood, another unpopular idea confirmed by the Bible.

I come from a huge family of devout Christians among whom, as a life-long skeptic, I have always been the black sheep. To this day, I must admit, many of the stories and miracles described in the Bible stretch the limits of my believability. The idea of angels, demons, a living human God-man, talking serpents and bushes, parting seas, virgin birth, walking on water, resurrection of the dead, 900 year-old people, and the many other miracles and entities fundamentalists believe on blind faith have never resonated with me as necessarily literal phenomena. Certain other aspects, however, including the Bible’s accounts of intelligent design, the fixed, flat Earth, giant human beings, and a worldwide flood are backed by abundant evidence, so I refuse to throw the baby out with the bathwater.



The Bible claims that Darwin was not the first to ever present the theory of evolution to humanity, but that Satan was. In the garden of Eden, Satan tells Eve if she eats from that tree ye shall be as gods. The idea that man can progress, evolve and become like gods, the foundation of Darwinism, Scientism, Trans-humanism and the New Age movement, is in a biblical context, Satanism. The Bible also talks about a great deception that Satan would enact in the final days, which would result in people increasingly disbelieving in God and the Bible, a

hoax that would “deceive even the very elect!” The modern Atheist Big Bang Heliocentric Globe-Earth Chance Evolution paradigm has accomplished just this by removing God, or any sort of intelligent design, and replacing purposeful divine creation with haphazard random cosmic coincidence.



*“The heliocentric theory, by putting the sun at the center of the universe ... made man appear to be just one of a possible host of wanderers drifting through a cold sky. It seemed less likely that he was born to live gloriously and to attain paradise upon his death. Less likely, too, was it that he was the object of God’s ministrations.” -Morris Kline*


The Bible describes a Geocentric Universe within which Earth is the only material world and around which everything in the

cosmos revolves. The Earth is described as a flat, plane resting upon the “mighty waters of the great deep.” The Sun and Moon were created as luminaries to divide and light the day and night. Stars were created as lesser luminaries, and all the celestial lights were created for the Earth and to revolve around the Earth. Humans, animals and everything in nature was intentionally and intelligently created in a matter of days, not coldly, blindly “evolved” over billions of years.

*“The Genesis creation story provides the first key to the Hebrew cosmology. The order of creation makes no sense from a conventional perspective but is perfectly logical from a flat-earth viewpoint. The earth was created on the first day, and it was ‘without form and void (Genesis 1:2).’ On the second day, a vault the ‘firmament’ of the King James version was created to divide the waters, some being above and some below the vault. Only on the fourth day were the sun, moon, and stars created, and they were placed ‘in’ (not ‘above’) the vault.” - Robert Schadewald, “The Flat Earth Bible” (2)*



Contrary to NASA and the modern Masonic astronomical establishment, the Bible affirms and re-affirms in several passages that the Earth is stationary and absolutely motionless. 1 Chronicles 16:30 and Psalm 96:10 both read, *“He has fixed the earth firm, immovable.”* Isaiah 45:18 states, *“God who made the earth and fashioned it, himself fixed it fast.”* And Psalm 93:1 says, *“The world also is stablished, that it cannot be moved.”*




Also contrary to NASA and other propagators of the ball-Earth theory, the Bible repeatedly affirms that the Earth is “outstretched” as a plane, with the outstretched heavens everywhere above (not all around), that it is firmly fixed on foundations or pillars, and has ends and corners jutting out into the sea. Exodus 20:1-4 declares that the heavens are above the Earth (not all around it) and that the waters of the mighty deep are under the Earth. In Luke 4:5 Satan takes Jesus to a mountain high enough to see *“all the kingdoms of the world in a moment of time,”* and Revelation 1:7 promises every eye shall see Christ’s coming in the clouds, feats only possible over a flat-Earth, not a globe.




*“The essential flatness of the earth’s surface is required by verses like Daniel 4:10-11. In Daniel, the king ‘saw a tree of great height at the centre of the earth...reaching with its top to the sky and visible to the earth’s farthest bounds.’ If the earth were flat, a sufficiently tall tree would be visible to ‘the earth’s farthest bounds,’ but this is impossible on a spherical earth. Likewise, in describing the temptation of Jesus by Satan, Matthew 4:8 says, ‘Once again, the devil took him to a very high mountain, and showed him all the kingdoms of the world [cosmos] in their glory.’ Obviously, this would be possible only if the earth were flat. The same is true of Revelation*

1:7: *'Behold, he is coming with the clouds! Every eye shall see him.'* -Robert Schadewald, "The Flat Earth Bible" (4)

In the Bible, "the waters" are created before the Earth and surround the Earth. Genesis 1:9-10 reads, *"And God said, Let the waters under the heaven be gathered together unto one*



*place, and let the dry land appear. And God called the dry land earth; and the gathering together of the waters called He seas."* Psalm 136:6 confirms that God, *"stretched out the earth above the waters,"* and Psalm 24:1-2 says, *"He hath founded earth upon the seas, and established it upon the floods."* 2 Peter 3:5 describes, *"the earth standing out of the water and in the water,"* and Exodus 20:4 and Deuteronomy 4:18 both mention *"the waters beneath the earth."*



*"That the surface of water is horizontal is a matter of absolute truth, and as the earth is founded upon the seas, and stretched out above the waters, it is of necessity a plane; and being a concrete mass of variable elements and compounds, with different specific gravities, it must be a floating structure, standing in and out*

*iceberg."* -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (364)

The Sun, Moon and stars were placed by God within the "firmament" or "the vault of heaven," and move around and above the earth, so that day and night are "ruled" by their motions/lights, not by the supposed axial motion of the ball-Earth. They are luminaries only (not physical planetoids), intended for "signs and seasons," to give light to this, the only world, and were purposely positioned relatively close to Earth, not millions of miles away as false astronomers say. Genesis 1:16-18 reads, *"And God made two great lights; the greater light to rule*

*the day, and the lesser light to rule the night: he made the stars also. And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth. And to rule over the day and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness.”*  
 Psalm 19:4-6 also affirms that it is the Sun which rotates over and around the Earth, and not the opposite as contended by the heliocentric establishment.

*“Further, the Bible frequently presents celestial bodies as exotic living beings. For example, ‘In them [the heavens], a tent is fixed for the sun, who comes out like a bridegroom from his wedding canopy, rejoicing like a strong man to run his race. His rising is at one end of the heavens, his circuit touches their farthest ends; and nothing is hidden from his heat (Psalm 19:4-6).’ The stars are anthropomorphic demigods. When the earth's cornerstone was laid ‘the morning stars sang together and all the sons of God shouted aloud (Job 38:7).’ The morning star is censured for trying to set his throne above that of other stars: You thought in your own mind, I will scale the heavens; I will set my throne high above the stars of God, I will sit on the mountain*




*where the gods meet in the far recesses of the north. I will rise high above the cloud-banks and make myself like the most high (Isaiah 14:13-14). Deuteronomy 4:15-19 recognizes the god-like status of stars, noting that they were created for other peoples to worship. Stars can fall from the skies according to Daniel 8:10 and Matthew 24:29. The same idea is found in the following extracts from Revelation 6:13-16... the stars in the sky fell to the earth, like figs shaken down by a gale; the sky vanished, as a scroll is rolled up... they called out to the mountains and the crags, ‘Fall on us and hide us from the face of the One who sits on the throne.’ This is consistent with the Hebrew cosmology previously described, but it is ludicrous in the light of modern astronomy. If one star let alone all the stars in the sky ‘fell’ on the earth, no one would be hollering from any mountain or crag. The writer considered the stars small objects, all of which could fall to the earth without eradicating human life. He also viewed the sky as a physical object. The stars are inside the sky, and they fall before the sky opens. When it is whisked away, it reveals the One throned above (see Isaiah 40:22).”*  
 -Robert Schadewald, “The Flat Earth Bible” (5)

*“They tell you that the sun is 92 million miles away. I laugh at that, not only as a mathematician but as a student of God Almighty’s Word. Did God Almighty create the earth and then create a light to light it up and put it 92 million miles*




*distant and make it a million times larger than the earth? What kind of fool would build a house up in Kenosha and erect a light a hundred miles from it to light up the parlor?” -Wilbur Voliva, Kingsport Times, Sept. 16, 1921*



*“The most casual and superficial reader of the Bible must see that it claims to be of Divine Origin. He must further see that the Author of the Bible claims to be the Builder of the Universe. And he must still further see that the world is described in this Book which claims to be from God as being*

*built upon the waters of the mighty deep, which foundations are not to be discovered by man; that the Sun, Moon, and Stars are inferior to the world we live on, and that they move above the earth, which is at rest.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogony” (132)*


So according to Godless men, you are the result of an accidental explosion of random matter which somehow “evolved” over billions of years to become the stars, Sun, Moon, Earth, and you. Your life is meaningless, your death is permanent, and your birth was just some cosmic accident like nature’s “pull-out method” gone wrong causing nothingness to ejaculate the universe and you, an unplanned, unwanted child. According to God, however, the stars, Sun, Moon, Earth and you



**THE ANCIENT HEBREW CONCEPTION OF THE UNIVERSE**

TO ILLUSTRATE THE ACCOUNT OF CREATION AND THE FLOOD

are the result of divine creation. Your life has a plan and a purpose, your physical death is a spiritual rebirth, and heaven awaits those who follow God's path.



*"We read in the inspired book, or collection of books, called THE BIBLE, nothing at all about the Earth being a globe or a planet, from beginning to end, but hundreds of allusions there are in its pages which could not be made if the Earth were a globe, and which are, therefore, said by the astronomer to be absurd and contrary to what he knows to be true! This is the groundwork of modern infidelity. But, since every one of many, many allusions to the Earth*

*and the heavenly bodies in the Scriptures can be demonstrated to be absolutely true to nature, and we read of the Earth being 'stretched out' 'above the waters,' as 'standing in the water and out of the water,' of its being 'established that it cannot be moved,' we have a store from which to take all the proofs we need, but we will just put down one proof - the Scriptural proof - that Earth is not a globe."* -William Carpenter, "100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe" (50)

*"The Bible meaning of 'The Earth' Is sensible and right,  
And all who fail to see its worth  
Are far from Truth and Right.*


*To teach a child the sea and earth  
Are rushing in the sky,  
Distorts his Reason from his birth,  
And makes his Bible lie."* -Elizabeth Blount

## The Flat Earth Truth

*"In the Middle Ages people believed that the earth was flat, for which they had at least the evidence of their senses:*




*we believe it to be round, not because as many as one percent of us could give the physical reasons for so quaint a belief, but because modern science has convinced us that nothing that is obvious is true, and that everything that is magical, improbable, extraordinary, gigantic, microscopic, heartless or outrageous is 'scientific.'"* -George Bernard Shaw



Modern astronomy has absolutely convinced the world, as George Bernard Shaw stated, that nothing that is obvious is true. It is obvious that the Earth is flat, yet they say it is curved; it is obvious that the world is motionless, yet they say that it moves; it is obvious that the heavens revolve around us, yet they say it is us that revolves; it is obvious that the stars are stars yet they say the stars are suns; it is obvious that the Sun is bigger than the stars, yet they say the stars are bigger than the Sun; it is obvious that the Sun and Moon are the same size, yet they say the Sun is 400 times larger; it is obvious that Earth is the only “planet,” yet they say there are over a septillion (1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000) planets; it is obvious that up is up and down is down, yet they say it is not so!


*“With the Modern Astronomer there is theoretically neither ‘Up’ nor ‘Down,’ though his experience belies his assertion, every time he looks ‘up’ to the heavens or ‘down’ to the ground. Such aberration of intellect is really to be pitied.”* -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (274)

*“Astronomer Denison Olmsted, in describing a diagram which is supposed to represent the Earth as a globe, with a figure of a man sticking out at each side and one hanging head downwards, says ‘We should dwell on this point until it appears to us as truly up,’ In the direction given to these figures as it does with regard to a figure which he has placed on the top! Now, a system of philosophy which requires us*





*to do something which is, really, the going out of our minds, by dwelling on an absurdity until we think it is a fact, cannot be a system based on God's truth, which never requires anything of the kind. Since, then, the popular theoretical astronomy of the day requires this, it is evident that it is the wrong thing, and that this conclusion furnishes us with a proof that the Earth is not a globe.” - William Carpenter, “100 Proofs the Earth is Not a Globe” (65)*



*“The physical properties of a physical globe would create insurmountable difficulties for the being called ‘man’ for man is a two-legged, smooth-footed, clawless-toed, and heavily-built creature. Picture him on the outside of a sphere in our popular 34 degree South latitude. He has his boots on and his head is depressed in space 34 degrees to his feet. Consider him magnetized through his boots to the center of the globe, where the ‘big magnet’ is*


*located. Picture him looking down in to the gaseous void, with his eyes gouging out of their sockets and his heart in his mouth; and his prayer that his ‘hob-nailed’ boots will not lose their magnetism. No wonder the world’s brain got addled! The reader has been hoaxed by the stupidest manifest hoax ever perpetrated.” -S.G. Fowler, “Truth - The Earth is Flat” (3)*

It should be obvious that up truly is up and down truly is down, that flat truly is flat, and still truly is still. It should be obvious the universe was intelligently designed by an intelligent designer, purposefully created by a purposeful creator. Yet modern “science” and “astronomy” through centuries of deception and manipulation have obfuscated the obvious and left people blinded to the simple truth.

*“The one thing the fable of the revolving Earth has done, it has*



*shown the terrible power of a lie, a lie has the power to make a man a mental slave, so that he dares not back the evidence of his own senses. To deny the plain and obvious movement of the Sun he sees before him. When he feels himself standing on an Earth utterly devoid of motion, at the suggestion of someone else he is prepared to accept that he is spinning furiously round. When he sees a bird flying, and gaining over the ground, he is prepared to believe that the ground is really travelling a great number of times faster than the bird, finally, in order to uphold the imagination of a madman, he is prepared to accuse his Maker of forming him a sensiferous lie.” -E. Eschini, “Foundations of Many Generations” (8)*




The truth is that the Earth is not a “planet”; it is a plane. Other than the heights and depths of mountains and valleys the Earth has no curvature or convexity and is for all intents and purposes flat. Just as it appears, the Sun, Moon and stars (fixed and wandering) all revolve around the flat Earth which is the stationary, immovable center of the universe. The magnetic North Pole is the center of the Earth and the universe. Polaris, the North Pole star remains always significantly situated atop the dome of the heavens, while the Sun, Moon, and stars revolve in circular cycles around us. The truth is that all standing water is always flat, the horizon is always flat, and all canals, tunnels and railways are built without regard for the supposed curvature or convexity of the Earth. The light from lighthouses can be seen at incredible distances only possible on a flat surface. The truth is pilots do not make constant nose declinations or compensation acceleration to account for the supposed curvature and rotation of the ball-Earth. The truth is sailors do not use spherical calculations, but plane trigonometry when navigating.

*“Rational people believe Salisbury Plain to be a Plane, and Lake Windermere to be horizontal, but our Astronomers say that this is all a mistake, that we must not trust our eyes, when we see these or other such places, as being horizontal, but that we should believe what they tell us, that Salisbury Plain, Lake*





*Windermere, as also all other plains, lakes, and places upon the Earth, as well as the vast Pacific and all other oceans, are only parts of a great Globe, and, therefore, must have a curve; besides which, mirabile dictu, that all rush together round the Sun at the rate of 65,000 miles per hour! They give their law for this fancied curvature, based on the world being 25,000 miles in circumference at the Equator, as being 8 inches for the first mile, 2 feet 8 inches for the second, 6 feet for the third, and so on, the rule being to square the number of miles between the observer and the object, then multiply that square by 8 inches and divide by 12 to bring it into feet, the quotient being the supposed curvature. Unfortunately, however, for Astronomers, this theory does not agree with fact, for this rule of curvature has been found to be utterly fallacious both on land and water. All houses have to be built on level ground, but no allowance whatever is made for the curvature of the Earth, and all compasses point North and South at the same time even at the Equator, which incontestably proves that the sea is horizontal, and, therefore, that the world is not globular, for if it were, one end of the magnet would then dip towards the North and the other point to the Heavens.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (123-4)*



The truth is that Antarctica is a giant ice wall holding in the oceans and the South Pole does not exist! Various anomalies and differences between the Arctic and Antarctic prove the earth is not a ball. The Arctic midnight Sun proves the universe is geocentric. The truth is the Sun and Moon are equal divine balanced opposites made for signs and seasons, to light the Earth, and divide day from night. The Moon is not merely a reflector of the Sun's light but emanates a demonstrably unique light of its own; It is completely self-luminescent and semi-transparent. The truth is that man has not and cannot ever walk on the Moon or Mars because the heavenly bodies are simply luminaries and not terrestrial terra firma like the Earth. The Moon and Mars landings were/are all hoaxes staged and filmed by Freemasons on Earth. Orbiting satellites and space stations do not exist; all video and photographs you have ever seen from NASA, Hubble, and other “official” sources are all CGI (computer-generated images). Gravity does not exist, and all “floating” astronauts are simply using wires or filming aboard Zero G planes. Relativity does not exist, and that is why Einstein is always sticking his tongue out at you!

The truth is the universe was intelligently designed by an intelligent designer, purposefully created by a purposeful creator, not the haphazard result of some inexplicable cosmic accident. The truth is that life, consciousness, the incredible beautiful diversity and complexity of nature is divinely created, not coldly, blindly “evolved” out of nothing.

*“When we consider what the advocates of the Earth's stationary and central position can account for, and explain their*



*celestial phenomena as accurately to their own thinking as we can ours, in addition to which they have the evidence of their SENSES and Scripture and facts in their favour, which we have not; it is not without a show of reason that they maintain the superiority of their system . . . However perfect our theory may appear in our own estimation, and however simply and satisfactorily the Newtonian hypotheses may seem to us to account for all the celestial phenomena, yet we are here compelled to admit the astounding truth, if our premises be disputed and our facts challenged, **the whole range of Astronomy does not contain one proof of its own accuracy.**” -Dr. Woodhouse, Cambridge Astronomy Professor*




*“The more I consider them the more I doubt all systems of Astronomy; I doubt whether we can with certainty know either the distances or the magnitude of any star in the firmament, else why do Astronomers so immensely differ with regard to the distance of the Sun from the Earth? Some affirming it to be only three and others ninety millions of miles away!” -Rev. John Wesley*

*“Many have been able to see through the delusions of modern astronomy. Letters from various parts testify that, in some cases, men and women have begun to make use of their brain-power, which had been stunted and dwarfed by acceptance, without the slightest proof, of the*

*unscientific, unreasonable, unnatural and infidel teachings of men foisted upon a credulous public in the name of 'Science.' Others again, tell that the writers have thrown to the moles and to the bats the world-wide and almost universally believed hoax that we are living on a whirling sea-earth globe, revolving faster than a cannonball travels, rushing through 'space' at a rate beyond human power to conceive, and flying - with the whole of the so-called 'solar system' - in another direction twenty times the speed of its rotation.” -Thomas Winship, “Zetetic Cosmogeny - Conclusive Evidence That the World is Not a Rotating Revolving Globe But a Stationary Plane Circle.” (ii)*

In conspiracy research, the term “globalist” usually refers to “internationalists,”



people in favor of a one world order, but more literally and more accurately, as the U.N. world government logo shows, the term “globalist” signifies those who propagate the centuries old myth of a globe Earth. Heliocentrism and the ball-Earth mythos have long been promoted by Masonic patriarchal pagan Sun-worshippers. In typical sun-worshipping fashion the Sun was made to be the most important and central entity of the so-called “solar system.” The Earth was demoted to being a mere planet like the wandering stars. All the fixed stars were turned into distant suns as well! The Sun was said to be the only giver of light and the Moon demoted to a mere reflector of the Sun’s light. The Sun was said to be the largest thing in our corner of the galaxy, bigger than the Earth, Moon and planets!




By removing Earth from the motionless center of the Universe, these Masons have moved us physically and metaphysically from a place of supreme importance to one of

complete nihilistic indifference. If the Earth is the center of the Universe, then the ideas of God, creation, and a purpose for human existence are resplendent. But if the Earth is just one of billions of planets revolving around billions of stars in billions of galaxies, then the ideas of God, creation, and a specific purpose for Earth and human existence become highly implausible.



By surreptitiously indoctrinating us into their scientific materialist Sun-worship, not only do we lose faith in anything beyond the material, we gain absolute faith in materiality, superficiality, status, selfishness, hedonism and consumerism. If there is no God, and everyone is just an accident, then all that really matters is me, me, me. They have turned Madonna, the Mother of God, into a material girl living in a material world. Their rich, powerful corporations with slick Sun-cult logos sell us idols to worship, slowly taking over the world while we tacitly believe their “science,” vote for their politicians, buy their products, listen to their music, and watch their movies, sacrificing our souls at the altar of materialism.



*“Such discrepancies remind me of the confusion which attended those who in olden days attempted to build the Tower of Babel, when their language was confounded, and their labour brought to nought. But no wonder is it that their calculations are all wrong, seeing they proceed from a wrong basis. They assumed the world to be a Planet, with a circumference of 25,000 miles, and took their measurements from its supposed centre, and from supposed spherical angles of measurement on the surface.*

*Again, how could such measurements possibly be correct while, as we are told, the Earth was whirling around the Sun faster than a cannon ball, at the rate of eighteen miles per second, a force more than sufficient to kill every man, woman, and child on its surface in less than a minute? Then, the Earth is supposed to have various other motions, into the discussion of which I need not enter here, and will only notice that of its supposed rotation round its imaginary axis at the*

*rate, at the Equator, of a thousand miles per hour, with an inclination of 23.5 degrees.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (12-13)*

*“Ptolemy had made it appear that the sun and stars revolved around a stationary earth, but Copernicus advanced the theory that it was the earth which revolved around a stationary sun, while the stars were fixed; and either of these entirely opposite theories gives an equally satisfactory explanation of the appearance of the sun by day and the stars by night.*

*Copernicus did not produce any newly discovered fact to prove that Ptolemy was wrong, neither did he offer any proof that he himself was right, but worked out his system to show that he*

*could account for all the appearances of the heavens quite as well as the Egyptians had done, though working on an entirely different hypothesis; and offered his new Heliocentric Theory as an alternative. Ptolemy shows very ingeniously that the Earth must be at the centre of the celestial sphere. He proves that unless this were the case, each star would not move with the absolute uniformity which does characterise it. He shows also that the Earth could not be animated by any movement of transition. ‘The Earth,’ argued Ptolemy, ‘lies at the centre of the celestial sphere. If the Earth were to be endowed with movement, it would not lie always at this point, it must therefore shift to some other part of the sphere. The movements of the stars, however, preclude this, and therefore the Earth must be as devoid of any movement of translation as it is of rotation.’” -E. Eschini, “Foundations of Many Generations” (6)*



The Ptolemaic Geocentric system prevailed for over 1,400 years and even thousands of years before Ptolemy, Flat-Earth Geocentricism was the widely accepted truth. The modern Ball-Earth Heliocentricism popularized by the likes of Copernicus, Kepler, Galileo, Newton, Einstein, and NASA, however, is a comparatively recent belief system that has been foisted upon an unsuspecting world for 500 years. Ptolemy never imagined the scientific magicians of the

future would be so brazen, nor the public so gullible, as to accept that we see no parallax change in the stars after hundreds of millions of miles of supposed orbital motion, simply because all those stars are trillions upon trillions of miles further distant at a sufficient enough scale for no change in relative parallax to occur! How convenient!? Yet another “fact” of modern astronomy which defies our common sense and experience!



*“They expect us to ‘believe’ that the earth and sea together comprise a flying globe (which they speak of as a solid ‘orb,’ supposed by astronomers to have been ‘originally shot off the sun in a soft plastic mass, which, as the temperature decreased, gradually solidified,’) yet not one single fact or proof can they produce for this far-fetched idea, and in spite of the fact that the whirling globe theory was (even according to the open confessions of its founders) set forth to the world in the first instance as a mere ‘supposition,’ it is now presented as*

*unquestionable truth.”* -Lady Blount, “The Romance of Science”

*“It was said, in effect, by Newton, and has ever since been insisted upon by his disciples: ‘Allow us, without proof, which is impossible, the existence of two universal forces--centrifugal and centripetal, or attraction and repulsion, and we will construct a theory which shall explain all the leading phenomena and mysteries of nature.’ An apple falling from a tree, or a stone rolling downwards, and a pail of water tied to a string and set in motion were assumed to be types of the relations existing among all the bodies in the universe. The moon was assumed to have a tendency to fall towards the earth, and the earth and moon together towards the sun. The same relation was assumed to exist between all the smaller and larger luminaries in the firmament; and it soon became necessary to extend these assumptions to infinity. The universe was parcelled out into systems--co-existent and illimitable. Suns, planets, satellites, and comets, were assumed to exist infinite in number and boundless in extent; and to enable the theorists to explain alternating and constantly recurring phenomena, which were*



*everywhere observable, these numberless and for-ever-extending objects were assumed to be spheres. The earth we inhabit was called a planet, and because it was thought to be reasonable that the luminous objects in the firmament, which were called planets, were spherical and had motion, so it was only reasonable to suppose that, as the earth was a planet, it must also be spherical and have motion--ergo, the earth is a globe and moves upon axes, and in an orbit round the sun! And as the earth is a globe and is inhabited, so again it is only reasonable to conclude that the planets are worlds like the earth, and are inhabited by sentient beings. What reasoning! What shameful perversion of intellectual gifts!" -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (348)*

*"Copernicus put forward the hypothesis of the revolution of the earth round the sun in order to explain the cycle of the seasons. His theory is not very satisfactory seeing that the earth is supposed to be at its greatest distance from the sun in the summer during the*



*hot weather, and at its shortest distance in the winter when the temperature is at its lowest. These unusual conditions which clearly contradict the laws of nature as regards the effects of heat, are, it is said, due to the angle formed by the rays of the sun as they fall on the earth's surface. It is also stated that the opposition of the seasons north and south of the equator is due to a tilt of the earth, first on one side, and then on the other, which conveniently occurs at the right moment. Nothing is said, however, of the shifting of the waters of the sea and rivers which this change in the centre of gravity and in the position of the earth would inevitably bring twice a year. It might also be assumed that under those conditions, very high constructions would swerve from the vertical. The American sky-scrappers and the Eiffel Tower, for instance, cannot be seen to lean right or left according to the seasons, although this should be a logical and natural consequence of the alternate inclination attributed to the earth." - Gabrielle Henriët, "Heaven and Earth" (1-2)*

If the Earth were a sphere that rotated daily on its vertical axis at a uniform velocity, revolving annually around the Sun, it would follow that half the “globe” would always be sunlit while the other half dark, every place on the globe receiving an equal amount of day and night. In actuality, however, the drastically varying lengths of day/night over the Earth are consistent with the Geocentric Flat-Earth model. If the Earth were a sphere it would follow that seasons the world over would be simultaneous due to distance from the Sun. When the Earth is farthest away from the Sun, the entire globe should be ensconced in winter and recording the coldest temperatures for the year. When the Earth is closest to the Sun, the entire globe should be summery and recording the warmest temperatures for the year. In actuality, however, this is not the case. The frozen depths of Antarctica remain forever frigidly foreboding while just a few thousand miles away it is tropical summer. How is it that the heat from the Sun could supposedly come from an eyebrow-raising 93 million miles away to simultaneously burn the skin of beach bums in Hawaii while leaving Antarctic explorers frozen in their boots just a few thousand miles away?




*“It is geometrically demonstrable that all the visible luminaries in the firmament are within a distance of a few thousand miles from the earth, not more than the space which*

*stretches between the North Pole and the Cape of Good Hope; and the principle of measurement - that of plane triangulation with, invariably, an accurately measured base line - which demonstrates this important fact is one which no mathematician claiming to be a master in the science will for a moment deny. All these luminaries, then, and the sun itself, being so near to us, cannot be other than very small as compared with the earth we inhabit. They are all in motion over the earth, which is alone immovable; and, therefore, they cannot be anything more than secondary and subservient structures continually ministering to this fixed world and its inhabitants. This is a plain, simple, and in every respect demonstrable philosophy, agreeing with the evidence of our senses, borne out by every fairly instituted experiment, and never requiring a violation of those principles of investigation which the human mind has ever recognized and depended upon in its every-day life. The modern or Newtonian astronomy has none of these characteristics. The whole system taken together constitutes a most monstrous absurdity. It is false in its foundation; irregular, unfair, and illogical, in its details; and, in its conclusions, inconsistent and contradictory.*



*Worse than all, it is a prolific source of irreligion and of atheism, of which its advocates are practically supporters. By defending a system which is directly opposed to that which is taught in connection with the Jewish and Christian religion they lead the more critical and daring intellects to question and deride the cosmogony and general philosophy contained in the sacred books. **Because the Newtonian theory is held to be true they are led to reject the Scriptures altogether, to ignore the worship, and doubt and deny the existence of a Creator and Supreme Ruler of the world.*** -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, "Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!" (354)

*"The facts and experiments already advanced render it undeniable, that the surface of all the waters of the earth is horizontal; and that however irregular the upper outline of the land itself may be, the whole mass, land*



*and water together, constitutes an IMMENSE NON-MOVING CIRCULAR PLANE. If we travel by land or sea, from any part of the earth in the direction of any meridian line, and towards the northern central star called 'Polaris,' we come to one and the same place, a region of ice, where the star which has been our guide is directly above us, or vertical to our position. This region is really THE CENTRE OF THE EARTH; and recent observations seem to prove that it is a vast central tidal sea, nearly a thousand miles in diameter, and surrounded by a great wall or barrier of ice, eighty to a hundred miles in breadth. If from this central region we trace the outline of the lands which project or radiate from it, and the surface of which is above the water, we find that the present form of the earth or 'dry land,' as distinguished from the waters of the 'great deep,' is an irregular mass of capes, bays, and islands, terminating in great bluffs or headlands, projecting principally towards the south, or, at least, in a direction away from the great northern centre. If now we sail with our backs continually to this central star, 'Polaris,' or the centre of the earth's surface, we shall arrive at another region of ice. Upon whatever meridian we sail, keeping the northern centre behind us, we are checked in our progress by vast and lofty cliffs of ice. If we turn to the right or to the left of our meridian, these icy barriers beset us*

*during the whole of our passage. Hence, we have found that there is a great ebbing and flowing sea at the earth's centre; with a boundary wall of ice, nearly a hundred miles in thickness, and three thousand miles in circumference; that springing or projecting from this icy wall, irregular masses of land stretch out towards the south, where a desolate waste of turbulent waters surrounds the continents, and is itself engirdled by vast belts and packs of ice, bounded by immense frozen barriers, the lateral depth and extent of which are utterly unknown. How far the ice extends; how it terminates; and what exists beyond it, are questions to which no present human experience can reply. All we at present know is, that snow and hail, howling winds, and indescribable storms and hurricanes prevail; and that in every direction human ingress is barred by unsealed escarpments of perpetual ice, extending farther than eye or telescope can penetrate, and becoming lost in gloom and darkness.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (91)*




What remains unknown at this time are 1) the extent of the Antarctic ice-wall, how far can one travel Southwards atop the ice? Is it just water, snow, ice, and darkness forever, or is there some limit, like the glass wall in The Truman Show? 2) Is there a limit to space? Is the universe infinite, or as the Bible claims, contained within a physical “firmament,” the “vault of heaven?” 3) What exists


beneath the “mighty deep?” Is it just deeper and darker water going downward forever, or is there some limit?

*“If the earth is a distinct structure standing in and upon the waters of the ‘great deep,’ it follows that, unless it can be shown that something else sustains the waters, that the depth is fathomless. As there is no evidence whatever of anything existing underneath the ‘great deep,’ and as in many parts of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans no bottom has been found by the most scientific and efficient means which human ingenuity could invent, we are forced to the conclusion that the depth is boundless.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Earth Not a Globe, 2nd Edition” (201)*

*“From the earliest times it has been believed and said that the heavens were not an empty space, but a solid surface. The Chaldeans and Egyptians regarded the sky as the massive cover of the world; and in India and Persia it was thought to be a metallic lid, flat or convex, or even pyramidal. Up to the 17th century the earth was always regarded as the centre of an empty sphere with solid walls; and on this account, it was always represented with a cover. This indispensable complement,*




*however, was eliminated upon the advent of the theory of gravitation, for convenience sake, as a solid dome limiting the space round the earth would have rendered impossible the extravagant motions of the planets which were sent revolving in the air at phenomenal distances. Thus from this time, the fact universally accepted for thousands of years that the sky is a firm surface, completely disappeared. Nevertheless, the possible existence of a solid vault over the earth is a question of great importance in view of the tremendous consequences which would result from this fact, if it happened to be true. There is no doubt that the general reaction is one of incredulity; but, on the other hand, it can be considered that it is not without reason that the ancients believed in the existence of the material vault of heaven; nor without reason, either, that this notion should have been consistently handed down through the ages since the earliest times up to the 17th century, in all parts of the world ... The planets are not solid, opaque masses of matter, as is believed. They are simply immaterial,*



*luminous and transparent discs; and in view of these circumstances, it is plain that the craters, asperities, mountains and valleys which were thought to exist on the surface of these imaginary masses, are the topographic features of the solid vault of the sky which are illuminated and thrown into relief by the luminous and transparent discs which we call planets. It is also to be realized that the lens of the telescope creates an appearance of convexity which,*

*standing out in relief, conveys the impression of a spherical mass, but this convexity effect is merely an optical illusion.*” -Gabrielle Henriet, “Heaven and Earth” (22-23)

Flat-Earthers historically have been subject to not only intense ridicule and ostracism, but many have even been threatened and assaulted for espousing their beliefs. I have personally been threatened by



Freemasons on multiple occasions for my work exposing their conspiracies, hoaxes and manipulations. Flat-Earth Society president Charles K. Johnson claimed a man from NASA attempted to murder him, and later had a massive suspicious fire that burned his house down, likely the result of arson, which destroyed his entire Flat-Earth library, all records and contacts of Flat-Earth Society members. The most renowned Flat-Earther in modern times, Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, also had his fair share of violent opposition. He stated that, *“For the long period of thirty-one years I have labored singlehandedly to bring this important subject before the world: both on the lecture platform and in local journals, and travelling from place to place - never resting longer than a few months in one locality, but like a scientific philosophic gypsy breaking up his tent and pitching it ‘here there and everywhere’ in order to draw this great question to the attention of all classes and degrees of intelligence. And as matter of course I have had to bear every possible form of opposition, the bitterest denunciations - often amounting to threats of violence and personal danger, the foulest misrepresentations, the most reckless calumny, and the wildest and most desperate efforts to stay my career and counteract my teachings. It has become a duty, paramount and imperative, to meet them in open, avowed, and unyielding rebellion; to declare that their unopposed reign of error and confusion is over; and that henceforth, like a falling dynasty, they must shrink and disappear, leaving the throne and the kingdom to those awakening intellects whose numbers are constantly increasing, and whose march is rapid and irresistible. The soldiers of truth and reason have drawn the sword, and ere another generation has been educated, will have forced the usurper to abdicate!”*



*“It may be boldly asked where can the man be found, possessing the extraordinary gifts of Newton, who could suffer himself to be deluded by such a hocus-pocus, if he had not in the first instance willfully deceived himself? Only those who know the strength of self-deception, and the extent to which it sometimes trenches on dishonesty, are in a condition to explain the conduct of Newton and of Newton's school. To support his unnatural theory Newton heaps fiction upon fiction, seeking to dazzle where he cannot convince. In whatever way or manner may have occurred this business, I must still say that I curse this modern theory of Cosmogony, and hope that perchance there may appear, in due time, some young scientist of genius, who will pick up courage enough to upset this universally disseminated delirium of lunatics.” -Goethe*



*“I could easily cite other good authorities to similar effect, but I think enough have been already given, to show that the absurdities of Modern Astronomy have not been palmed upon the world without a strong protest from thoughtful minds, and I sincerely trust that the*

*following pages may prove useful to some honest thinkers, not only in exposing the fallacies of this chimerical science, but in showing the true position of the world, as proved by facts in nature ... I sincerely trust that, after considering the evidence which has been brought before him, the thoughtful Reader will clearly see that this world of ours is not a Planet, as supposed by our Modern Astronomers, but a real Terra Firma, founded upon the waters of the Great Deep, from which come and to which return, with unceasing flow, the rivers of the Earth, in accordance with the wise and beneficent purpose of our Divine Creator.” -David Wardlaw Scott, “Terra Firma” (20-271)*

*“Thus we see that this Newtonian philosophy is devoid of consistency; its details are the result of an entire violation of the laws of legitimate reasoning, and all its premises are assumed. It is, in fact, nothing more than assumption upon assumption, and the conclusions derived therefrom are willfully considered as things proved, and to be employed as truths to substantiate the first and fundamental assumptions. Such a ‘juggle and jumble’ of fancies and falsehoods extended and intensified as in theoretical astronomy is calculated to make the*

*unprejudiced inquirer revolt with horror from the terrible conjuration which has been practised upon him; to sternly resolve to resist its further progress; to endeavour to over-throw the entire edifice, and to bury in its ruins the false honours which have been associated with its fabricators, and which still attach to its devotees. For the learning, the patience, the perseverance and devotion for which they have ever been examples, honour and applause need not be withheld; but their false reasoning, the advantages they have taken of the general ignorance of mankind in respect to astronomical subjects, and the unfounded theories they have advanced and defended, cannot be otherwise than regretted, and ought to be by every possible means uprooted.” -Dr. Samuel Rowbotham, “Zetetic Astronomy, Earth Not a Globe!” (351)*

The Globe-Earth lie or what I have titled “The Flat-Earth Conspiracy” is in my humble opinion, the greatest deception in human history and most important taboo issue which desperately needs to be exposed. If people knew the extent to which they have been lied to and brain-washed from birth, there would be a veritable revolution in critical thinking, personal sovereignty, and belief in God by morning. The New World Order “globalists,” Satan’s prophesized One World Government Masonic minions are everywhere spreading their “scientific” disinformation, “deceiving the very elect,” and herding the sheeple to their slaughter. Please help spread the word to your friends, family, neighbors and co-workers, direct them to [AtlanteanConspiracy.com](http://AtlanteanConspiracy.com), and send copies of this book to help awaken them and support my life-long efforts to bring Truth, Freedom, Peace and Love to the flat Earth!





